1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 3 IN RE: VALSARTAN PRODUCTS 19-md-02875-RBK-JS 4 LIABILITY LITIGATION TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE WITH ORAL 5 ARGUMENT AND RULINGS ON 6 DEFENDANTS' FACT SHEETS Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse 7 4th & Cooper Streets Camden, New Jersey 08101 July 29, 2020 8 Commencing at 10:20 a.m. 9 THE HONORABLE JOEL SCHNEIDER, BEFORE: 10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND 11 THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 12 APPEARANCES: 13 MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC 14 BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE 103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY 15 ROSELAND, NEW JERSEY 07068 For the Plaintiffs 16 GOLOMB & HONIK, P.C. 17 BY: RUBEN HONIK, ESQUIRE 1835 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2900 PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103 18 For the Plaintiffs 19 20 LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL RAFFERTY PROCTOR P.A. BY: DANIEL A. NIGH, ESQUIRE 21 316 S. BAYLEN, SUITE 600 PENSACOLA, FL 32502 22 For the Plaintiffs 23 Carol Farrell, Official Court Reporter cfarrell.crr@gmail.com 2.4 856-318-6100 25 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

```
A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):
 1
 2
         KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC
 3
         BY: CONLEE S. WHITELEY, ESQUIRE
         701 CAMP STREET
 4
         NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130
         For the Plaintiffs
 5
 6
         GOLDENBERGLAW, PLLC
         BY: MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG, ESQUIRE
 7
         800 LASALLE AVENUE, SUITE 2150
         MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402
 8
         For the Plaintiffs
 9
         DUANE MORRIS LLP
10
         BY: SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
              BARBARA A. SCHWARTZ, ESQUIRE
11
         30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
         PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103
         For the Defendants, Walmart, Prinston
12
         Pharmaceuticals, Solco Healthcare U.S. LLC,
13
         and Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
14
         BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
15
         BY: SARAH E. JOHNSTON, ESQUIRE
              KRISTEN L. RICHER, ESQUIRE
         2029 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 300
16
         LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
17
         For the Retailer Defendants and CVS Pharmacy
18
         NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
19
         BY: D'LESLI M. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
         1301 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
20
         NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019
         For the Defendant, McKesson
21
22
         ULMER & BERNE LLLP
              JEFFREY D. GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
         600 VINE STREET, SUITE 2800
23
         CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
         For the Defendant, AmerisourceBergen
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP BY: VICTORIA D. LOCKARD, ESQUIRE LORI G. COHEN, ESQUIRE
4	JEFFREY GREENE, ESQUIRE 3333 PIEDMONT ROAD, NE, SUITE 2500
5	ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30327 For the Teva Defendants
6	ror the leva belendants
7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BIASGAARD & SMITH BY: MEGAN E. GROSSMAN, ESQUIRE
8 9	550 E. SWEDESFORD ROAD, SUITE 270 WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA 19087 For the Defendant, AvKARE
J L0	FOI the Defendant, AVNAND
11	
L2	
LZ L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
(PROCEEDINGS held via teleconference before The Honorable Joel
1
 2
    Schneider, United States Magistrate Judge, at 10:20 a.m.)
 3
             THE COURT: We're on the record in the matter of the
   Valsartan MDL litigation, Docket Number 19-2875.
 4
 5
             Let's get the entries of appearance for plaintiffs'
    lead counsel who we expect to talk, and whoever is going to
 6
 7
    talk on this conference call, please just announce your name
8
    so the court reporter knows who is talking.
 9
             Plaintiffs, do you want to put your entries of
    appearance on the record?
10
             MR. SLATER: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam Slater
11
    on behalf of the plaintiffs.
12
13
             MR. HONIK: Good morning, Your Honor. Ruben Honik
    for plaintiff.
14
15
             MR. NIGH: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel Nigh for
   plaintiffs.
16
17
             MS. WHITELEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Conlee
18
    Whiteley for plaintiffs.
             THE COURT: Why don't we get the appearances now for
19
    the lead counsel for the defendants.
20
21
             MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Seth
22
    Goldberg for the ZHP parties and defendants.
23
             MS. COHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Lori
24
   Cohen for the Teva defendants.
             MS. LOCKARD: Victoria Lockard also for the Teva
25
```

defendants. 1 2 MR. GREENE: Jeff Greene, also for the Teva 3 defendants. And, Your Honor, Dr. Maura Grossman is also on the line as well. 4 MS. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 Johnston on behalf of the retail and pharmacy defendants. 6 7 MR. GEOPPINGER: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff 8 Geoppinger on behalf of wholesaler defendants and 9 AmerisourceBergen. THE COURT: All right. I think that's everyone. 10 Thank you for your letters which we have received, 11 reviewed, including, Mr. Greene, Dr. Grossman's affidavit. 12 13 I've spoken with Judge Kugler. He's going to be joining us this morning after we're done with the issues we 14 15 need to address. He's in a hermetically sealed room somewhere in Washington, D.C., dealing with his FISA business but will 16 make himself available for this call because I know there are 17 a number of issues that he has to deal with. 18 I'd like to address on this call the issues that we 19 have to deal with, and if you'll indulge me, let's save the 20 Teva TAR issue for last and address and resolve all the other 21 22 issues we are going to address on this call, and then we'll deal with the Teva TAR issue at the end of this call. 23 24 For the benefit of those people who weren't on our 25 call yesterday, just for the record, we had a call late

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and put that behind us.

yesterday afternoon. It wasn't on the record. The Court sat in, didn't make any rulings, where plaintiffs and Teva met and conferred to see if they can come to some sort of resolution of this TAR issue. Dr. Grossman was kind enough to be on the call. Plaintiffs' consultant was on the call as well. Where we left things last night, I think we had a very good, candid conversation of the issues. There is a good-faith disagreement amongst the parties. Where we left things was I asked Mr. Slater, on behalf of the plaintiffs, if he would just confer with his group to find out how they wanted to proceed. Given the late notice, I relayed to Mr. Slater that it was not unreasonable if plaintiffs' position was that they wanted more time to talk amongst themselves about it. We'll find out at the end of this call this morning what they have resolved. Like I said, if plaintiffs don't have a resolution yet, we can talk the end of this week or early next week. So, dealing with the non-TAR issues, let me just go through Mr. Goldberg's letter. The show-cause issue regarding the fact sheets, why don't we save that for when Judge Kugler joins us. Why don't we address the fact sheet issue. It looks

I'd like to get them finalized and entered this week

like we are very, very close to finalizing all the fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
So the first item listed in the letter is the
manufacturer defendant fact sheet. Is the only issue,
counsel, this introductory paragraph when responses have to be
       Why don't we hear from Mr. Goldberg first.
         MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, this is Barbara Schwartz
on behalf of the ZHP defendants, and that's right. The only
dispute remaining for the manufacturers is the first paragraph
issue, which is -- for the manufacturer defendants' fact
sheets, the only remaining dispute is the first paragraph, but
this same dispute also extends to the defendant fact sheets
for the other levels of the supply chain.
         THE COURT: Okay. So just refresh my recollection,
counsel.
         I know we did the -- whatever it was. Was it 45
days, 45 days, 45 days, or was it 60 days? I don't remember.
         MS. SCHWARTZ: It was 60 days.
         THE COURT: Okay. So the first set of defendants
that are going to respond to the fact sheets, are they the
retailers or the manufacturers?
         MS. SCHWARTZ: In most cases, yes, it will be the
defendants closest to the consumer in the supply chain, so
often the pharmacy.
         THE COURT: All right. And, again, to refresh the
Court's recollection, the Court had ordered, if I remember,
correct me if I'm wrong, that we're talking about the named
```

class representatives and another, what, 20 people?

```
MS. SCHWARTZ: That's correct, 20 personal injury
 1
 2
    plaintiffs to be identified by the plaintiff.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. They have not done that yet; is
 4
    that what you're saying?
 5
             MS. SCHWARTZ: That's right.
             THE COURT: All right. But everybody knows who the
 6
 7
    named class reps are, correct? Do you have the approximate
 8
    number of how many there are?
             MS. SCHWARTZ: I believe there are about 35 class
 9
    representatives.
10
             THE COURT: All right. So let's just take that
11
             It could be plus or minus. Have those 35 already
12
    number.
13
    answered and responded to the fact sheets?
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Those 35 have submitted fact sheets,
14
15
    and we only have disputes as to the substantial completion of
    that group in about five instances.
16
17
             THE COURT: All right. So, again, we're talking
    rough numbers. So there are at least 30 plaintiffs, where as
18
    soon as the fact sheet is entered this week, the retailers
19
20
    have 60 days to respond to those 30 people, right?
21
             MS. SCHWARTZ: That's right.
22
             THE COURT: All right. And then we have five to work
23
          And plaintiffs -- I'm surprised at this. I quess maybe
    I didn't drill down on this enough. I had assumed that the
24
25
    plaintiffs had already identified the 20, but Mr. Slater or
```

```
some representative from the plaintiffs, when do you intend to
 1
 2
    identify the additional 20 people?
 3
             MR. SLATER: Your Honor, Adam Slater -- yeah, I was
 4
    going to hand it off to Daniel.
 5
             MR. NIGH: Sorry, Adam.
             MR. SLATER: No, no, I'm letting you answer the
 6
 7
    question.
 8
             MR. NIGH: Okay. This is Daniel Nigh.
 9
             We -- I don't know if Marlene made the proposal yet,
    but the retailers were asking for 90 days to complete the
10
    defense fact sheet, and we had suggested that, rather than
11
    that be the deadline, we would give 30 days to identify the
12
13
    bodily injury cases from today, and then they would have 60
    days, so that would effectively give them 90 days, to
14
15
    complete --
16
             MS. RICHER: Daniel, this is --
17
             MR. NIGH: -- the fact sheet.
             (Simultaneous crosstalk.)
18
             MS. RICHER: And, Your Honor, this is Kristen Richer
19
20
    with -- go ahead, Marlene.
21
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Okay. So, Kristen and I had spoken
22
    yesterday, we had a productive conversation. I'm sorry.
                                                               This
    is Marlene Goldenberg for the plaintiffs.
23
24
             And Kristen and Sarah and I had a productive
25
    conversation yesterday as part of being able to work through
```

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
some of the other disputes on the fact sheet. What we agreed
was that for the class-action plaintiffs, their trigger
deadline to start answering was going to be August 15th, and
the trigger deadline for the personal injury plaintiffs was
going to be August 31st; and with that -- with both of those
deadlines in mind, they would respond to each group of defense
fact sheets within 60 days of those trigger deadlines.
         THE COURT: But, Ms. Goldenberg, when do plaintiffs
intend to identify the 20 additional people at issue?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Judge, we had talked with the
retailer defendants about this yesterday, and because they had
requested additional time to respond as part of this
agreement, we said we would give them those names on August
31st.
         THE COURT: Can I just ask the question, what's
taking so long?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, if you'd like them
sooner, we can certainly work on making sure that's --
         ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.
         THE COURT: Listen, I'm trying to move things along,
and that's fine. If we say plaintiffs have to identify the 20
people by August 15, and retailers have to respond within 60
days of August 31st, that gives the retailers a little bit
more, an extra I guess two weeks or so. They've asked for 30
days but we're giving them an extra two weeks. It sounds like
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
they asked for 90 days, as opposed to the original 60 days,
but if you wait 30 days to identify the 20 additional people,
that doesn't really help the retailers because then they'll
still have 60 days to respond.
         So why don't we say that the trigger date for the
class-action plaintiffs is 60 days from August 15; the trigger
date for the personal injury plaintiffs is 60 days from August
31st; but the 20 additional people have to be identified by
August 15. And so that will give the retailers an extra 15
days, as opposed to their requested 30 days to respond.
And we'll keep the other groups at 60 days.
         MS. GOLDENBERG: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll be
happy to do that.
         THE COURT: All right. But now we have the issue of
the -- well, so then we don't have to work -- well, do we have
to worry about this trigger now? I guess --
         MS. GOLDENBERG: We do, Your Honor. And this is
Marlene Goldenberg again.
                           The --
         THE COURT: Yes.
         MS. GOLDENBERG: -- that we have on the trigger is
whether or not the plaintiff actually is substantially
complete, and our proposal to the defendants has been, as long
as Section 1 of the fact sheet is substantially complete,
which provides, you know, pharmacy records, information about
use, and everything that they would need to start going
```

through their records to tell us where our clients' pill came from, what our clients took, and, you know, what the chain of distribution is, to us, that's actually more than what they need because Section 1 is overly inclusive and has other information outside of that.

Defendants' position has been that the entire fact sheet has to be what they call substantially complete, and I think that just provides so much ambiguity because if a client forgot what year they declared bankruptcy, for example, I mean that could be a reason for holding up a defendant's fact sheet process that is going to take in excess of a half a year to complete.

THE COURT: Well, let me say two things in response to what you had to say. One is if -- I hope the defendants don't make an argument that a fact sheet is not substantially complete because it's simply missing the date that a bankruptcy was filed. So I wouldn't consider that a substantial oversight.

The 20 people that you're going to identify by August 15, Ms. Goldenberg, have they already answered the fact sheets?

MS. GOLDENBERG: We are taking that into consideration in selecting the 20, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court's ruling on this issue is going to leave the fact sheets like they are right now and

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
We negotiated this ad nauseam, and one of
not change them.
the concerns I have is that I don't want to incentivize a
plaintiff not to complete a fact sheet, and if the Court says
that if they just fill out a certain section, then that
triggers the defendants' duty to do what they have to do, that
might -- capital "M" -- incentivize the plaintiff not to do a
thorough and complete job when they complete the fact sheet.
         With regard to the substantial completion argument, I
don't really see it as a big deal because it really has to be
a material oversight to be not substantially complete, and if
there is a dispute about this, you know you can raise it with
the Court right away. We talk every two weeks. So I don't
see this as a big problem, and I think if we accepted
plaintiffs' alternative proposal, it could lead to unforeseen
results. So let's just leave it as it is now, and if, as I
expect, things should go pretty smoothly. So that takes care
of that issue.
         I'm sorry, Ms. Goldenberg, did you have something to
add?
         MS. GOLDENBERG: No, Your Honor, I'm sorry.
         THE COURT: Okay. So then I'm looking at the letter
from Mr. Goldberg. The retail pharmacy fact sheets -- the
manufacturer fact sheets are now finalized. Retail pharmacy,
are they finalized with the Court's ruling?
         (Simultaneous crosstalk.)
```

```
MS. RICHER: This is Kristen Richer for the retail
 1
 2
   pharmacy defendants.
 3
             We have reached substantive agreement with plaintiffs
 4
    on any disagreements that we had, and we're just cleaning up
    the document now, and hope to have a day or two to just run
 5
    the final by their clients. So what we would propose is that
 7
   we send you the final version no later than Friday so the
8
    Court can approve it and enter the fact sheet.
 9
             THE COURT: Friday's great.
             MS. RICHER: But in terms of substance, we're there.
10
             THE COURT: Great.
11
12
             Wholesalers, apparently, there's three issues with
13
    the wholesalers, so why don't we deal with that. Why don't we
    deal with those three issues.
14
15
             I'm looking at Mr. Goldberg's letter, Page 6.
    start with the plaintiffs. This repackager/relabeler issue,
16
17
    that's an interesting issue. How many repackagers and
    relabelers are there in the case?
18
             MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, this is Marlene
19
20
    Goldenberg again.
21
             The short answer to your question is not many.
22
   have been working productively with many of them to get them
    through the peripheral dismissal process that we put in place
23
24
   many months ago, and it's actually for that reason that we
```

really don't feel that they need a separate fact sheet here.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the extent any of them want to stay in the case, we just want the same information that we get from the wholesalers. If they don't want to stay in the case, the peripheral dismissal defendants' protocol is available to them, and we have, you know, I think worked pretty well in getting defendants who wanted to be out of the case out. THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure why the wholesalers object. They're not a repackager -- I don't know. Why are the wholesalers objecting if we're talking about different parties? MR. GEOPPINGER: Well, Your Honor, this is Jeff Geoppinger for the wholesalers. And, basically, what it boils down to, Your Honor, is you even pointed out there's very few left in the case. They're differently situated. Thev have their own program with respect to being peripheral defendants and getting out of the case, which the wholesalers clearly don't, because we've been -- the plaintiffs have made very clear we are not peripheral defendants. So they just logically don't belong in our fact sheet. And then even -- secondly, more basically, we can't negotiate on behalf of the repackagers. We negotiated on behalf of the wholesalers. If the repackagers -- if there is a repackager, as Ms. Goldenberg says, who, quote, unquote, wants to be in the case -- I can't believe there are -- but if

there is somebody out there who's still in the case, then I

```
think the plaintiffs need to take their requests for discovery
1
 2
    to those defendants, not the wholesalers.
 3
             THE COURT: Help me. Do you know of any -- well, are
 4
    there any repackagers or relabelers on this phone call?
             MS. GROSSMAN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Megan
 5
    Grossman, and I represent AvKARE, and I think I have the
 6
 7
   privilege of being one of the only repackagers that's still in
8
    this litigation.
 9
             THE COURT: Well, kudos to you, Ms. Grossman.
             MS. GROSSMAN:
                            Thank you.
10
             THE COURT: How many repackagers or relabelers are
11
    left in the case?
12
13
             MS. GROSSMAN: I believe, from my discussions with
    the other counsel for the repackagers, that AvKARE is one of
14
    the only repackagers that still is in the case or is not
15
    actually in the process of getting dismissed as part of the
16
17
    peripheral defendants' CMO that you entered.
             Of course, I have plans on behalf of AvKARE to try to
18
19
    submit the information to the plaintiffs to take advantage of
20
    that CMO as well, but it just has not happened yet.
21
             THE COURT: Do you anticipate --
22
             MS. GROSSMAN: But there were -- I apologize.
23
             THE COURT: Do you anticipate that you will make a
24
    request to be dismissed pursuant to that Court order?
25
             MS. GROSSMAN: Yes, Your Honor. We're in the process
```

of collecting all the documents from our client.

THE COURT: How long do you think it will take to make that request?

MS. GROSSMAN: I will make sure that we make that request within 15 days so that we can try to move this process along, keeping in mind the deadlines that Your Honor just discussed with regard to the defendants' fact sheet.

THE COURT: So why don't I say something to the effect that to the extent a repackager or relabeler has not made a request to be dismissed from the case within, say, 30 days from today, they'll have to answer this fact sheet. So, within 30 days, I would expect that we'll know if there's any repackager or relabeler who is not going to make a request to be dismissed from the case. I don't know why they would do that, but -- and if they don't, if they're part of the case, they have to answer the fact sheet. Okay? So let's do it that way.

And then if the repackager or relabeler who is left in the case wants to raise an issue about answering the fact sheet, they could raise it with the Court. So I'll draft something that says something to that effect.

But, hopefully, if things go according to plan, all repackagers and relabelers will be in the dismissal process within 30 days, and while they're going through that process, they don't have to answer the fact sheet. Okay? I think

```
1
    that's a fair compromise.
 2
             MS. GROSSMAN: Understood, Your Honor. Again, this
 3
    is Megan Grossman for AvKARE. And I would welcome an
    opportunity to have a conversation with plaintiffs' counsel,
 4
   Ms. Goldenberg, about the issues that were being raised in the
 5
    wholesalers DFS about repackager issues, because I do believe
 7
    that there are some questions that were included in the
 8
    wholesalers defendants' fact sheet that would only be
 9
    applicable to repackagers, which is why I think Mr. Geoppinger
    was explaining that he can't negotiate those terms because he
10
    doesn't necessarily know what repackagers have as opposed to
11
12
    wholesalers so --
13
             THE COURT: Right.
             MS. GROSSMAN: -- if Ms. Goldenberg would like to
14
15
    have a discussion with me about the terms of the defendants'
    fact sheet, I'm available.
16
17
             THE COURT: But, Ms. Grossman, let's clarify this.
    If your client is going to request to be part of this
18
    dismissal process, you don't have to answer the fact sheet.
19
20
    So why would you have that discussion with Ms. Goldenberg?
21
             MS. GROSSMAN: Again, this is Megan Grossman, for the
22
    record.
23
             I would have the discussion with Ms. Goldenberg
24
   because it's my understanding -- and I don't want to speak for
```

Mr. Geoppinger or Ms. Goldenberg -- but it's my understanding

```
that there were some issues that the wholesalers were trying to delete from the defendants' fact sheet that were only related to repackagers, and so if Your Honor is saying that those issues have to stay in the wholesalers defendants' fact sheet, as opposed to being a separate defendant's fact sheet, I was just offering to speak to Ms. Goldenberg about those specific terms that the wholesalers were trying to delete.

THE COURT: Okay. In a matter of minutes, we will finalize the wholesalers' fact sheet, so you may not have to
```

finalize the wholesalers' fact sheet, so you may not have to speak with Ms. Goldenberg about it, but we'll see because we didn't get --

MS. GROSSMAN: Understood.

THE COURT: -- to two and three yet. But I think we have resolved number one.

The Court's order is going to say something to the effect that if a repackager or relabeler has not requested or been part of this dismissal process within the next 30 days, then they have to answer the wholesalers' fact sheet, and while they're going through that process, they don't have to answer it. The hope is that to the extent there are repackagers or relabelers who haven't requested to be part of the process, this will incentivize them to move things along a little bit so they don't have to answer the fact sheet.

So let's go to number two. Ms. Goldenberg, the request about the pricing, hasn't the Court already ruled

```
about the pricing issue?
 1
 2
             MS. GOLDENBERG:
                              Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: So, doesn't the Court's prior rulings
    take care of number two?
 4
 5
             MS. GOLDENBERG:
                             Yes. So, Your Honor, I'll allow my
    class colleagues to chime in if I miss anything, but the Court
 6
 7
    absolutely ruled on the global issues relating to pricing, and
 8
    we respect those rulings, and we're not trying to change those
 9
    here.
             What we are trying to do, though, is get some pricing
10
    information as to a small subset of plaintiffs, and it's our
11
    understanding that, you know, again, this is only going to be
12
13
    answered as to approximately 50 different plaintiffs.
                                                            If we
    ever got to a point where the defendants' fact sheet was going
14
15
    to apply to all the plaintiffs in the case, we would be happy
    to renegotiate this provision, but in order for us to get the
16
17
    information that we'd need on the class side of the case, this
    pricing information really is important, and that's why we've
18
    asked for it here.
19
20
             THE COURT: Well, Ms. Goldenberg, it sounds to me,
    with all due respect, and I understand why, that you're trying
21
22
    to get -- not you -- the plaintiffs are trying to get through
    the back door what they couldn't get through the front door.
23
24
    So the request for the pricing information in number two is
25
    denied, for the same reasons that the Court already ruled.
```

```
1 Let's go to number three.
```

MS. GOLDENBERG: On this one, Your Honor, I have good news. Number three, I believe, unless Mr. Geoppinger can tell me I've missed something, was just the trigger deadline that we talked about with all the other defendants, and so I believe that we have made it through this fact sheet, and that we don't have any disputes as to the others.

THE COURT: Great. So the wholesaler --

MR. SLATER: Your Honor --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR. GEOPPINGER: This is Mr. Geoppinger, Jeff Geoppinger for the wholesalers.

There is a third issue. The third issue is the request the plaintiffs have made in the fact sheet for return data and the scope of that request. Basically, Your Honor, they have asked for -- and I'll just summarize it -- they've asked for us to provide information about returns we got in a individual plaintiff's fact sheet with respect to all returns we got for a period of eight years from the pharmacy that the plaintiff would have gotten their valsartan from at some point along the way in this eight years. We think that's totally broad. It's an expansive request for data that's not really tethered to any of the plaintiffs specifically, which is sort of the purpose of the DFS is to answer questions that are related to this specific plaintiff. So we propose that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide the return data, which is basically any information we have about getting returns of product from the pharmacy or pharmacies that the plaintiff got their valsartan from, for the period of time that we have agreed is the relevant period of time in the fact sheet, which I believe is six months prior to the first prescription and 30 days after their last. THE COURT: So, let me make sure I understand correctly, counsel. As a compromise, you're proposing that let's say a particular plaintiff got their prescription from -- and I'm not picking on a particular company -- CVS down the corner, you said that you would provide information for that particular retail store for the relevant time period? MR. GEOPPINGER: We would provide information for the pharmacy defendant, Your Honor. So --THE COURT: Would it be -- hold on. Would it be just for that retail store that the particular plaintiff went to or CVS or the whole company? MS. DAVIS: Judge, this is D'Lesli Davis. I was involved in negotiating and working on that part, if I may. What we anticipate happening, because of the lack of lot and batch numbers, is that a plaintiff will fill out on this plaintiffs' fact sheet, I used Teva NDC Number 1, I got it from CVS in Texas for one year. I then used a different NDC number from a different manufacturer. I may have gone to

a different pharmacy a year later or two years later, so it's

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

incremental. And so what would happen is in our proposal, we used something we called the subject time period to distinguish it from the Court's relevant time period of the full time period, and that is the six months before to the 30 days after this individual plaintiff's increment from that individual pharmacy.

Now, your specific question relates to would we be producing documents from just the Plano, Texas, facility or the entirety of CVS. And it's the summary of the sales, too, not full documents. The answer would be if we have detail for that particular pharmacy, we would produce it related to that pharmacy. If that's not the way that the records were kept, I think if we were in an incremental time period, which is not the full, you know, eight years of the relevant time period, but the subject time period as defined in the fact sheet, we would be willing to look at CVS more broadly because the records might have been kept that way, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: Okay. What do plaintiffs say about this? MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, we had originally asked for this question just to read were any affected drugs returned to you by a plaintiff or a relevant pharmacy defendant, and the defendants wanted a time period on that, and so what we offered as a compromise was the same relevant time period that has applied to the rest of their discovery which is January 1st, 2012, through December 31st, 2019.

concern with limiting the dates in the way that defendants have proposed are just that we don't know when returns happened because somebody might wait to return a product or, alternatively, a different person who took a pill from the same lot and batch might have returned it to a different pharmacy, and that pill might be the one that we would want to test for trial, and what we're trying to avoid here is getting all the way to trial and having one of these groups of defendants come back to us and say, well, hey, we accepted these pills because we know our distribution network, and so we've been able to source pills from the same lot and batch as the one that your plaintiff took, and we've looked at it and it's fine. And then on our side, we never would have known that pill existed or had a chance to test it.

MS. DAVIS: Judge, the testing is handled through the broader RFPs, and by the time you patchwork together the 50 or so plaintiffs they're going to have, this really becomes a request for all returns information.

I would also note that returns happen in the course of business. This is not a return for a defective product. It's not -- they haven't limited it to recalled product. So they're asking for returns globally that happen just because the company over-ordered inventory or something is coming up on an expiration. These are just machinations that happened without any meaning to the issues in the litigation.

```
THE COURT REPORTER: Please identify who just spoke.
 1
 2
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was D'Lesli Davis.
 3
             MS. DAVIS:
                         I'm sorry. I'm getting a break out.
                        Ms. Farrell, that was Ms. Davis.
 4
             THE COURT:
             THE COURT REPORTER:
                                  Thank you.
 5
 6
             MS. GOLDENBERG: And this is Marlene Goldenberg, if I
 7
    could just respond to that.
 8
             Again, I mean, what we're trying to figure out here
 9
    is are there pills that came from our clients' lot or batch
    that are available for testing. Whether or not those were
10
    returned because of a valsartan recall or because a pharmacy
11
    simply ordered too much product, you're right, it doesn't
12
13
    narrow it that way because that's not the reason that would
    be -- I mean, that's not what what's important.
14
15
    important is these relevant pills are available and we just
    want to know what they are. And so that's the reason that
16
17
    we've asked this question, but we also are willing to limit it
    to the relevant time period that applies to the rest of
18
19
    discovery, and we're also limiting it to the NDC codes that
20
    were taken by each plaintiff, and so it really isn't a request
21
    for everything that's out there. We've tried to narrow this
22
    as much as we can.
23
             THE COURT: On this particular issue I'm going to
24
    rule in defendants' favor. I think we should keep the focus
25
    of these fact sheets where they belong and not get into these
```

generic big issues.

Ms. Goldenberg, I think your concern about sample product being available is perfectly legitimate, and we've discussed that issue before, but I think there are so many avenues that we've discussed that you're going to get that information that we don't also need it through these individualized fact sheets.

I mean, Ms. Goldenberg, haven't we discussed already in the case that you're going to find out one way -- not you -- plaintiffs are going to find out one way or the other whether there is any product available to be sampled that was recalled? Haven't we been over that issue many times before in this litigation?

MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, my understanding is that yes, we are going to get information about whether product is available. What I don't have a perfect understanding is at this time is whether or not we will know exactly whether or not that product came from the same lot or batch as what our clients took. But if that's the Court's ruling, I mean, we certainly can live with that, and if we find that there is a problem later on down the road, then we can raise it at that time.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Goldenberg.

I think that takes care of the last fact sheet, correct? So the Court's order is going to say the final,

final, final version of all the fact sheets have to be served by Friday. I'll enter an order blessing them with the time frame that we talked about earlier in this call, and I'm delighted that we finally put the fact sheet and the request for production behind us.

Moving on in the letter, the short-form complaints, we'll save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

The coordination of the state court cases, why don't we save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

The schedule for general causation, we'll save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

That leaves us with the last issue that I wanted to discuss on this call, which is the TAR issue. Mr. Slater, let me turn the floor over to you.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Following the call yesterday, we had some communication with Teva's counsel, and I think it makes sense to accept your Honor's suggestion that we try to talk for a few more days. Your Honor, obviously, I'm not going to go through what we discussed yesterday, but I think it's clear we are trying to work with the defendants to find a -- you know, some sort of a compromise. If not, you know, then obviously we're very comfortable just letting the Court decide the issue. I think that maybe, so that it doesn't got out of hand, it doesn't drag too long, and since there is so much

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
that's back and forth that's already occurred, maybe Monday
would be a reasonable day, as opposed to Friday. It gives us
the weekend, if maybe Monday afternoon works for the Court.
Perhaps that gives us a little more time, but also gives both
sides the urgency to understand that the issue's going to be
decided one way or the other so that we all know what we're
doing because, obviously, the uncertainty is -- it's certainly
creating a lot of issues on our side. If that's acceptable to
the Court, and there is no, you know, no issue from Teva, I
think that's a reasonable approach.
         MR. GREENE: Your Honor --
         THE COURT: I think -- go ahead, Mr. Greene.
         MR. GREENE: Your Honor, this is Jeff Greene for Teva
defendants.
         I think what we had proposed yesterday was that Teva
was happy to put a validation protocol together which
addressed the concepts that we discussed yesterday, and use
that as a starting point for the discussions. And I think
having something concrete in front of the parties would be
helpful to that discussion. You know, we remain the same, you
know, we believe that we can work with plaintiffs, if everyone
shows up in good faith and is reasonable about the process.
Our suggestion is to try that route and have something
concrete in front of us.
                         If plaintiffs want to come back with
an alternative --
```

```
ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.
 1
 2
             MR. GREENE: -- but I think -- and maybe that puts
 3
    the Court in a position where it's choosing one over the
 4
    other, and that may be the way it ends up, but we think there
    is logic to continuing the discussion. Yesterday was
 5
   productive in identifying the issues.
 7
             So what we would propose would be to turn over a
 8
    draft validation protocol for discussion and see where that
 9
    takes us. And I think we could have that by Friday, and then,
    you know, we'll see where that goes from the perspective of
10
    further discussions, and if we have to jump back on the phone
11
    early next week with the Court, we're certainly willing to do
12
13
    that.
             MR. SLATER: Your Honor -- go ahead, I'm sorry,
14
15
    Judge.
16
             THE COURT: No, go ahead, Mr. Slater.
17
             MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor. Adam Slater for
    the record.
18
             I'm not going to again reargue. Certainly, if the
19
    defense wants to send us a proposed validation protocol, they
20
          The Court understands our position that we are not
21
    can.
22
    agreeing to any particular proposal that would implicate a
23
    validation protocol or what level, but, certainly, there is no
24
   harm in them sending information to us.
25
             What I would request, if they are going to send a
```

```
protocol to us, however, is the following. They can send
 1
 2
    whatever they propose for this case. I would also like
   Dr. Grossman to provide to us as well what she would term the
 3
   most aggressive plaintiffs' side proposal for validation
 4
   protocol in the similar MDL that she has. And I'm not
 5
 6
   being --
 7
             THE COURT: Let me jump in, Mr. Slater, because I'm
 8
    just reading your mind, and I think I'm going to take the wind
 9
    out of your sales and, if I do, I apologize, but if there is
    something else you wanted to say, this is the Court's
10
   position. I came into this call saying if you don't agree by
11
    Friday, I'm entering my order. I was up early. I'm drafting
12
13
    the order already. There is going to be a long opinion on
    this, but I want to get this moving. I'm prepared to enter an
14
15
    order with findings and rulings. I was going to do it by
    Friday -- perfectly reasonable, perfectly comfortable.
16
17
             Having a phone call on Monday, late afternoon again,
    and if you can't agree by Monday, the order is going to be
18
19
    entered Tuesday morning.
20
             And what I was going to say is this. Dr. Grossman,
21
    you're on the phone.
22
             DR. GROSSMAN: I am, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: I was going to say this. I was going to
24
    say the exact same thing that Mr. Slater was about to say.
```

I know how you feel about sampling and production and

Case 1:19-md-02875-RMB-SAK

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
There will be a 502 order entered in the
1
    callback agreement.
 2
    case. The chances of there being work product or
 3
    attorney-client privileged material is infinitesimally small,
    given the types of documents we're dealing with, and they're
 4
    going to go through a privilege review. So I agree with
 5
   Mr. Slater that, hopefully, there will be a fulsome validation
 7
   process and the offer to plaintiffs will be so good that they
 8
    can't refuse it.
             Mr. Slater, did I read your mind?
 9
             MR. SLATER: You did, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm not ruling, but if you can't
11
    agree by Monday, I'm ruling. I promised you that this is not
12
13
    going to linger, deadlines aren't being extended, and I'm
    going to keep my word. We're going to get this done and we're
14
15
    going to move it along.
16
             So, it sounds to me like there is nothing else we
17
    need to talk about. I would suggest -- I'm going to hang up,
    get in contact with Judge Kugler, give him the number to call
18
19
    in, and then we'll get going on the second part of this call.
20
    Okay? Everybody all right with that?
             MR. SLATER: The same number, Your Honor?
21
22
             THE COURT: Yes, stay on the line. Stay on the line.
23
    I don't want to lose you. It's going great.
                                                  Thanks so much
24
    to Ms. Cohen and Ms. Lockard for setting this up.
25
             I'm going to contact Judge Kugler, give him the
```

```
call-in number, and we'll get started with the rest of this
1
 2
    call. Thank you.
 3
             (A recess was taken at 11:11 a.m.)
    (PROCEEDINGS held via teleconference before The Honorable
 4
 5
    Robert B. Kugler, United States District Judge, and The
    Honorable Joel Schneider, United States Magistrate Judge, at
 7
    11:15 a.m.)
 8
             THE COURT: Hello, everybody. It's Judge Kugler.
 9
   believe there's 51 other people on the call so I assume
   everybody is available who we need, so I guess we just ought
10
    to get started at this point.
11
12
             I know you have been on the phone with Judge
13
    Schneider this morning and, apparently, you got a lot of
14
    things resolved.
15
             The things that you need me to do have to do with the
16
    core deficiencies in the listings for the plaintiffs' fact
17
    sheets and the requests for orders to show cause.
             In Mr. Goldberg's letter of July 28, there is two
18
    categories of people. The first is the first listing for the
19
20
    James Townsend case, is that correct, everybody?
21
   Mr. Goldberg?
22
             MS. LOCKARD: That's correct, Your Honor. This is
   Victoria Lockard. I'll be handling the plaintiff fact sheet
23
24
   portion on behalf of the defendants.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MS. LOCKARD: Yes, that's correct. Mr. Townsend is
the first listing, so we will have one more round of listing
Mr. Townsend's case we actually proceed with the request for
show cause.
         ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.
         THE COURT: Mr. Slater, does the plaintiff have any
response to any of that, as to Townsend?
         MR. SLATER: Your Honor, there's other members of our
team that are specifically handling that, so I will defer to
them.
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MR. STANOCH: Good morning, Your Honor.
David Stanoch for plaintiffs. We don't have an issue for the
first listing. I have been in contact with Gilman Law.
believe it's a technical issue that with the centrality
platform. They assure me they're aware of it, but they have
submitted on the system an update and just need to work to
make sure it's actually properly served to the defendants, and
that they have a call in to the designated defense lawyer as
well, as of yesterday, so I don't think there is any issue
here with this first thing. It should be resolved by the next
conference, anyway.
         THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll flip that into
the second conference. We'll list it next time, and we'll see
what happens. Hopefully, it will get resolved.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we have the second listing, and there is I think a mistake in Number 9, Maxine Guillory. The dates in the far right column, for 2020, I think that's a typo because it's not September of 2020 or November of 2020 quite yet.

MS. LOCKARD: Correct, Your Honor. That is very likely a typo on the letter and should be 2019.

THE COURT: Okay. And these are all people who still haven't filed the PFS; is that correct?

MS. LOCKARD: Correct, Your Honor. And we've had very productive meet and confers, calls, with plaintiffs' counsel on the large majority of plaintiff fact sheet deficiency issues and have whittled down to these 12, and for whom there have been no plaintiff fact sheets submitted whatsoever, many of which were due months and months ago, we have sent our deficiency letters out. We have scheduled meet and confer calls, which we had last week with as many of the plaintiffs' counsel as had appeared on our lists. We invited everyone to attend. Plaintiffs' counsel, I understand, had circulated our list of these 12, in addition to others that were resolved, and then we followed up with the individual plaintiff's counsel listed for each of these dozen with an e-mail, so they've had multiple opportunities and notices. And it's our understanding -- you know, certainly some of the counsel listed here for these dozen are on this call, and, you know, we can discuss, but at this point my understanding is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
these are very likely just a dozen plaintiffs who do not
intend to proceed with their claims, so we will be asking for
a show cause order for these 12.
         THE COURT: Okay. Anybody want to respond on behalf
of these plaintiffs?
         MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, David Stanoch again for the
plaintiffs.
         I think Ms. Lockard accurately summarized where we
are with this, and we're hopeful that following the show cause
order, most or all of these cases will either resolve the
issues or be dismissed, but, otherwise, we agree with the
process that's in place.
         THE COURT: Okay. The Court will issue orders to
show cause on all 12 of these, why they shouldn't be dismissed
at the time of the next conference, and we'll just have to see
what happens with them. If anybody responds before then,
we'll deal with it at the time.
         Are there any other PFS deficient cases that we need
to talk about at the moment?
         MS. LOCKARD: No, Your Honor, not at this time.
have a process in place now where we are doing recurring calls
among the parties which has been productive in getting a
number of these responded to and updated, so we'll just
continue with the process which seems to be working well.
         THE COURT: Okay. Great.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next issue I think is the coordination of state court cases. There have been a number of new cases filed in the New Jersey Superior Court. My understanding is that they're all going to be stayed. Is that correct? MR. SLATER: It's Adam Slater, Your Honor. Correct, there's individual orders being entered in each case, and currently there is about 14 or 15 cases, and I believe they're all stayed or going to be. THE COURT: Okay. That leaves the -- go ahead. JUDGE SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, Judge. I was going to ask who filed those cases? MR. SLATER: A good number of them were filed by my firm as local counsel for some other firms. There was timing issues, where the feeling was that the cases weren't going to be filed, and they were cases where they need to be filed in New Jersey for various reasons, as opposed to anywhere else, so we handled that on behalf of the firms. THE COURT: Okay. It's Judge Kugler again. There are two cases pending in Illinois State Court. One, there is apparently a motion to dismiss that's been filed because this one state case has been refiled in a state court case after it had been removed and then referred to the MDL and then dismissed. So we'll just have to wait and see what the state court does about that.

But what about is there any action in the other state

court case in Illinois? 1 2 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Seth Goldberg for 3 the ZHP parties. My understanding is that in that case, the 4 5 Maxton [ph] case, a judge has not been assigned and there has been no movement at this point, although I expect we're going 7 to look to remove that case because there is complete 8 diversity in that case. THE COURT: Is the plaintiff's lawyer in that case 9 one of the plaintiffs' lawyers in these cases, do we know? 10 MR. GOLDBERG: I don't know, Your Honor, but I'll 11 find that out and I'll coordinate with Mr. Slater about that 12 13 and see if there is going to be any dispute about removing the case and having it put into the MDL. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. GOLDBERG: In the other Illinois case, it's 17 interesting that the plaintiff's lawyer in that case has been trying to avoid having the case be put into the MDL. And the 18 motion to dismiss is -- has to do with the identification of 19 20 the parties. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. SLATER: And, Your Honor, it's Adam Slater. 23 I can tell you our leadership, to our knowledge, 24 nobody's involved with those cases. Nobody has any direct

information. We've reached out, not really had any success

25

```
getting in touch with those firms, so they're not aligned with
 1
 2
    us in any way at this point.
 3
             THE COURT: I just asked the question because I was
    curious as to whether or not, Mr. Slater, you or someone in
 4
    your leadership group could informally contact these
 5
    plaintiffs' lawyers and tell them that there is a better way
 7
    of doing this, but, apparently, you've been trying to do that.
 8
             MR. SLATER: Correct.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. The next issue then is this
    issue about general causation. Defendants seek an earlier
10
    identification of the types of cancer, and clearly seek to
11
    have some kind of expert basis for the claim that these types
12
13
    of cancers have been caused by the impurities in the drugs
    that we're dealing with. And I've read Mr. Slater's response
14
15
    to that.
16
             Mr. Goldberg, did you want to say anything about
17
    that?
             MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor --
18
             MS. COHEN: This is Lori Cohen on behalf -- I'm
19
20
    sorry, go ahead, Mr. Goldberg.
21
             MR. GOLDBERG: No, Lori, I was going to turn it over
22
    to you.
23
             THE COURT: Okay, great.
24
             MS. COHEN:
                         Okay. Thank you. Sorry to talk over
25
    you, Mr. Goldberg.
```

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And good morning, Your Honor. Just briefly on this,

we just raised this, admittedly, last week with a letter to counsel for the plaintiffs, and they responded saying they would get back to us, so we have not had a full meet and confer discussion on this, but I'll give you a little bit of background if Your Honor would allow me, and that is this: That, as you well know, that this MDL was formed in February of 2019. Our first CMC with you and Judge Schneider was in March of 2019. At that time I think you had some initial comments about the importance of the cancer issues, obviously, not solely, and not the only issue, but we talked about those at the first CMC, that it could be a heavy lift, it was important for us all to sort of drill down on that and look into the cancer issues. So we've been sort of waiting for that opportunity. We've obviously all been very busy for the past year working on various issues related to the core discovery and otherwise. On February 26, which was our last in-person conference, I believe, and when we had that conference with Judge Schneider, that was pre-COVID, we talked about our strong desire, the defense side, to move forward with some of

the personal injury discovery, not to derail in any way what

we know Your Honor wants to focus on in terms of the economic

class actions, not to disrupt, as plaintiff's said in their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

letter, not to change course, but as a purely and appropriate parallel track. And we talked to Judge Schneider about that, as I recall, as well, in our closed-door session, talked about our desire, and I believe, and I don't want to misspeak here, that he said it was appropriate to go forward on a parallel track on that.

Now, since that time many of us on this call have been involved in the newly formed Zantac MDL down in Florida, in that case Judge Rosenberg, and, again, they have been working on and we've been working on there a number of case management schedules and strategies, and there there has been a lot of focus on this issue of general causation, again, as a parallel track to the punitive class actions. So we thought that that was a very good lesson of a way to sort of be efficient here, focus on the cancer issues, at the same time, again, not wanting to derail what is already in place. certainly understand that needs to go forward. But we understand from Judge Schneider that we're fully entitled to proceed on the personal injury cases and want to do so, and we think it would be very helpful to have a general causation schedule, much like the one that we attached to our letter that is being used in the Zantac/ranitidine litigation.

So in that one, for example, that MDL was just formed, as you may know, in March of 2020, so several months ago, and in that case, ten months from the formation of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MDL, plaintiffs will be required to disclose the types of cancer, so which they will provide expert reports and the schedule goes from there. This was Exhibit E to our letter. And we think that makes very good sense there to put in place some kind of a general causation timeline, again, to basically get to the point of filing Daubert motions, but so that the parties do not spend considerable time, expense, and resource on cancer issues that are not going to be part and parcel of the discovery here.

Again, when we look at this case, we would like the plaintiffs to have to disclose the specific types of cancers for which they anticipate providing expert reports and, by the way of illustration, as we said in our letter, there are over 30 variations of cancer that have been identified in the short-form complaints, and some of the cases talk about all classes of cancer. We know it cannot be that broad. We know that under the various cancer guidelines, that the plaintiffs will not be able to establish that, and I don't think they're trying to do so.

So we think having a very sort of schedule like that as used in Zantac to proceed efficiently, to make sure that neither side is wasting resources, nor the Court, that we can get down to the point that we can get to a general causation Daubert schedule, so that's what we proposed last week. think it's consistent with our discussions with Judge

Schneider. We think it's consistent, Your Honor, with what we discussed with you on the very first day of this MDL. And we'd like to at least start talking about such a schedule.

And I'll just mention that in Zantac, again, ten months from the formation of the MDL, plaintiffs have to disclose the types of cancer, and then 15 months from there, Daubert motions will be closed, the Court will schedule a Daubert hearing. We would propose some sort of schedule like that as a parallel track, not to interfere with what we know is your priority as you have described to us, but we think that is important to do at the same time, and we would -- just wanted to brief to the Court on this right now and, hopefully, we can work with plaintiffs in a constructive manner to get a schedule like that underway.

THE COURT: Well, before I hear from Mr. Slater, I know what he's going to say because he put it in his letter, Zantac is an interesting case, but it's more of a single issue case than valsartan is, so it's more amenable, as Benicar was, to the general causation issue.

Having said that, I'm not unsympathetic to the position you are in. There has to be a reckoning at some point as to all these cancer claims, and they can't -- I don't think at the end of the day the science is going to support this thinking, this theory -- well, it's not even a theory of the plaintiffs, but the claims of some of these plaintiffs

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that every conceivable cancer out there is caused by the impurities in the valsartan-containing drugs. And there is going to have to be a winnowing of that, and there's going to be a focus by the plaintiffs on what actual cancers they claim have been caused or will be caused in the future by these valsartan-containing drugs, and that will trigger the need for experts and then Daubert and all that kind of stuff.

And I don't think Judge Schneider and I have any real objection to a parallel effort to take some discovery on those kinds of issues, too. I just don't think we need it this day, today, to enter any orders that are setting up general causation. And I think you and Mr. Slater or whomever on behalf of the plaintiffs need to talk about this more, and it's obviously something we will continue to discuss at meetings in the future.

But you're right, there has to be a reckoning, a day of reckoning, as to these cancer claims, and it can't go on forever.

Mr. Slater, did you want to add anything?

MR. SLATER: I don't think so, Your Honor. I think you obviously understand our position, and I don't think that you're going to get a giant push back from the plaintiffs that at some point the specific cancers that are actually going to be at issue need to be identified in our general causation expert reports. I think it's just more a matter of when

that's going to happen. You know, there's things like discovery that we would like to have from the defendants who presumably have been looking at this question as well. We want to see what their documents say and what their internal people say and what literature they've been looking at, and have the benefit of that when we write our expert reports and understand what they know. And then, of course, you know, serve expert reports on general causation for the personal injury cases.

You know, our main concern is really just, as you, I think hit it, is timing and, you know, a parallel track, you know, I guess it's the devil's in the details because there is so much happening right now, and there is so much that we're doing, that and as you -- I know you appreciate the expert reports are -- they don't address exactly the same question in the economic loss context, and that's really where our focus is at this point, what we're building towards, so as long as we have the ability to do this in a methodical way, you know, and which we're happy to talk to the defense about, just dealing with the practicalities of what has to be done, you know, for the next, you know, six months or so, there is a lot happening.

I don't see any reason why after that, you know, this big burst of discovery happens, why that's not something that we can start to initiate. I think it makes sense.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

46

THE COURT: Well, it's almost August, so why don't we focus on this. Why don't we have our goal to be before the end of this year -- by the end of this year to have in place orders governing general causation and expert reports on those issues. Okay? So we will continue to discuss this with the idea that by the end of the year, we'll have this in place with a schedule. All right? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. COHEN: I think what we will do is just move forward, prepare what we think is a meaningful, efficient schedule, send it to plaintiff, and we can start discussing that so we don't get behind schedule, with an aim to having it done by the end of year but hopefully sooner, because it is a very important issue for the defense side. And, again, not to argue this today, but we do think this is very analogous to Zantac, in terms of the alleged impurities causing cancer, so I think we can learn from that schedule and hopefully make it, you know, even more simpler from here. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. This is the court reporter. Who was speaking then? MS. COHEN: Yes, it was Lori Cohen. Sorry to speak quickly on that. THE COURT: Are there any other issues that we need

resolution on?

2 MR. SLATER: This is Adam Slater for the plaintiff.

3 | I don't believe so.

MR. GOLDBERG: And this is Seth Goldberg for defendants. No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You must all be wondering what the heck is going on in the court system with the virus and the COVID. My biggest concern is not actually having in-court proceedings. I think we will be doing more and more in that. I've already done one recently, and we do have a courtroom set up for it. We have to, I think, restrict the number of people who come in.

The biggest concern I have, frankly, is these orders by the various states for quarantine. New Jersey currently has about 30 states on its list, that if you come in from that state into New Jersey, you're supposed to voluntarily quarantine for 14 days. It's impossible for those of you who are in those states then to come to New Jersey, and I'm sure there is a reciprocal agreement in some of your states that if you go to New Jersey, when you come back to your state, you need to quarantine. So I think that's the biggest obstacle we're going to have to in-person meetings at this point, although I think the Court will be prepared, come September, when we open an additional courtroom up, to have the meetings.

So I think we ought to do this by phone conference

Ι

```
again in August, and then we'll have more information at that time, in another month, as to these issues about traveling to New Jersey, and I'll be better able to tell you, if we are able to schedule in-person conferences, how many people we can handle and things of that nature, and the precautions we're taking. Everybody is wearing masks. We have all kinds of plexiglass dividers in the courtroom and all that kind of stuff. But I can give you more details in the future about what we're doing about the courtrooms.

And if you're interested, we're not doing jury trials
```

for -- we probably won't be doing jury trials until November in the District of New Jersey, and because of the severe shortage of judges we have and the severe backlog of criminal cases that we have, particularly with defendants in custody and their speedy trial rights, I don't foresee civil trials until sometime next year in the District of New Jersey, just for those of you who were interested in that. But that's where we are in terms of the COVID precautions in the District of New Jersey.

Somebody was going to say something. Go ahead.

JUDGE SCHNEIDER: Judge, this is Judge Schneider. was going to say it's like the Hotel California. You can check out but you can't leave.

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: Exactly. It's a problem, this

```
1
    quarantine, anyway.
 2
             Anything else anyone would like to talk to today?
 3
    Assure me, please, that all of you are healthy and your
    families are well and everybody is doing well in these days?
 4
    These are crazy days.
 5
             As far as I know, all the judges in the District of
 6
 7
    New Jersey are well. Well, Judge Salas is not well, but
 8
    that's got nothing to do with the damn virus. That's a
 9
    completely horrible situation she has found herself in.
    in terms of the virus and all that, the courthouses are in
10
    pretty good shape in New Jersey.
11
             Well, stay well, everyone, and we will talk to you
12
13
    soon. Obviously, you know we're available, and hang in there.
    And good luck to everybody. Thank you very much.
14
15
             (The proceedings concluded at 11:40 a.m.)
16
17
18
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
19
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
20
21
22
    /S/ Carol Farrell, NJ-CRCR, FCRR, RDR, CRR, RMR, CRC, CRI
    Court Reporter/Transcriber
23
24
    August 07, 2020
         Date
25
```

			_	_
/	3	33:7, 49:15	28:25	10:23, 11:6, 11:7,
/	3	ability [1] - 45:18	alternatively [1] - 24:4	11:9, 12:19, 46:1,
/\$ [1] - 4 9:22	30 [15] - 2:11, 8:18,	able [5] - 9:25, 24:11,	ambiguity [1] - 12:8	48:1, 49:24
[]	8:20, 9:12, 10:24,	42:18, 48:3, 48:4	amenable [1] - 43:18	available [10] - 5:17,
0	11:2, 11:10, 17:10,	above-entitled [1] -	AMERICAS [1] - 2:19	15:4, 18:16, 25:10,
	17:12, 17:24, 19:17,	49:20	AmerisourceBergen	25:15, 26:3, 26:11,
07 [1] - 49:24	22:6, 23:4, 42:14,	absolutely [1] - 20:7	[2] - 2:24, 5:9	26:16, 33:10, 49:13
07068 [1] - 1:15	47:15	accept[1] - 27:18	amounts [1] - 31:6	AVENUE [2] - 2:7,
08101 [1] - 1:7	300 [1] - 2:16	acceptable [1] - 28:8	analogous [1] - 46:17	2:19
	30327 [1] - 3:5	accepted [2] - 13:13,	AND [2] - 1:5, 1:10	avenues [1] - 26:5
1	316 [1] - 1:21	24:9	ANGELES [1] - 2:16	AVKARE [5] - 3:9,
	31st [5] - 10:5, 10:14,	according [1] - 17:22	announce [1] - 4 :7	16:6, 16:14, 16:18,
1 [3] - 11:23, 12:4,	10:23, 11:8, 23:25	accurately[1] - 36:8	answer [11] - 9:6,	18:3
22:22	32502 [1] - 1:21	action [3] - 10:2, 11:6,	14:21, 17:11, 17:16,	avoid [2] - 24:7, 38:18
10019 [1] - 2:20	3333 [1] - 3:4	37:25	17:25, 18:19, 19:18,	aware [1] - 34:16
103[1] - 1:14	35 [3] - 8:9, 8:12, 8:14	ACTION [1] - 1:2	19:20, 19:23, 21:24,	
10:20 [2] - 1:8, 4:2	_	actions [2] - 40:25,	23:10	В
11:11 [1] - 33:3	4	41:13	answered [3] - 8:13,	bookground in 40:0
11:15 [1] - 33:7	45 m - 7:40 7:44	actual [1] - 44:4	12:20, 20:13	background [1] - 40:6
11:40 [1] - 49:15 12 [4] - 35:12, 35:19,	45 [3] - 7:13, 7:14	ad [1] - 13:1	answering [2] - 10:3,	backlog [1] - 48:13
36:3, 36:14	45202 [1] - 2:23 4th [1] - 1:7	ADAM [1] - 1:14	17:19	bankruptcy [2] - 12:9, 12:17
1301 [1] - 2:19	4tn [1] - 1:7	Adam [7] - 4:11, 9:3,	anticipate [4] - 16:21,	BARBARA [1] - 2:10
14 _[2] - 37:7, 47:17	E	9:5, 29:17, 37:5,	16:23, 22:20, 42:12	Barbara [1] - 7:5
15 _[8] - 10:22, 11:6,	5	38:22, 47:2	anyway [2] - 34:22,	BARNES [1] - 2:14
11:9, 12:20, 17:5,	50 [2] - 20:13, 24:16	add [2] - 13:19, 44:19	49:1	basis [1] - 39:12
37:7, 43:6	502 [1] - 32:1	addition [1] - 35:19	apologize [2] - 16:22, 30:9	batch [5] - 22:21,
15th [1] - 10:3	51 [1] - 33:9	additional [6] - 9:2, 10:9, 10:12, 11:2,		24:5, 24:11, 25:9,
17TH [1] - 2:11	550 [1] - 3:8	10.9, 10.12, 11.2, 11:8, 47:24	appearance [2] - 4:5, 4:10	26:18
1835 [1] - 1:17	55402 [1] - 2:7	address [6] - 5:15,	appearances [1] -	BAYLEN [1] - 1:21
19-2875 [1] - 4:4		5:19, 5:21, 5:22,	4:19	becomes [1] - 24:17
19-md-02875-RBK-	6	6:22, 45:15	appeared [1] - 35:17	behalf [13] - 4:12, 5:6,
JS [1] - 1:3		addressed [1] - 28:17	applicable [1] - 18:9	5:8, 6:9, 7:6, 15:21,
19087 [1] - 3:8	6 [1] - 14:15	admittedly [1] - 40:2	applied [1] - 23:24	15:22, 16:18, 33:24,
19103 [2] - 1:18, 2:11	60 [11] - 7:14, 7:15,	advantage[1] - 16:19	applies [1] - 25:18	36:4, 37:17, 39:19,
1st _[2] - 23:25, 31:8	8:20, 9:13, 10:7,	affected [1] - 23:20	apply [1] - 20:15	44:13
	10:22, 11:1, 11:4,	affidavit [1] - 5:12	appreciate [1] - 45:14	behind [3] - 6:25,
2	11:6, 11:7, 11:11	afternoon [3] - 6:1,	approach[1] - 28:10	27:5, 46:13
	600 [2] - 1:21, 2:23	28:3, 30:17	appropriate [2] - 41:1,	belong [2] - 15:19,
20 [10] - 7:25, 8:1,	_	aggressive[1] - 30:4	41:5	25:25
8:25, 9:2, 10:9,	7	ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14,	approve [1] - 14:8	benefit [2] - 5:24, 45:6
10:21, 11:2, 11:8,	701 (4) 0.0	41:25	approximate[1] - 8:7	Benicar [1] - 43:18
12:19, 12:23	701 [1] - 2:3	agree [6] - 30:11,	area [1] - 31:19	BERNE [1] - 2:22
2012 [1] - 23:25	70130 [1] - 2:4	30:18, 31:14, 32:5,	argue [1] - 46:16	best[1] - 31:17
2019 [4] - 23:25, 35:6,	8	32:12, 36:11	ARGUMENT [1] - 1:5	better [3] - 31:21,
40:8, 40:9	0	agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4	argument [2] - 12:15,	39:6, 48:3
2020 [6] - 1:8, 35:3,	800 [1] - 2:7	agreeing [1] - 29:22	13:8	BIASGAARD[1] - 3:7
35:4, 41:24, 49:24 2029 [1] - 2:16	856-318-6100 [1] -	agreement [4] - 10:13,	assigned [1] - 38:5	big [4] - 13:9, 13:13,
2029 [1] - 2.16 2150 [1] - 2:7	1:24	14:3, 32:1, 47:19	assume [1] - 33:9	26:1, 45:24
2500 [1] - 2.7 2500 [1] - 3:4		ahead [8] - 9:20,	assumed [1] - 8:24	biggest [3] - 47:8, 47:13, 47:21
26 [1] - 40:19	9	21:10, 28:12, 29:14,	assure [2] - 34:16,	•
270 [1] - 3:8		29:16, 37:9, 39:20,	49:3	bit [3] - 10:23, 19:23, 40:5
28 [1] - 33:18	9 [1] - 35:2	48:20	ATLANTA [1] - 3:5	blessing [1] - 27:2
2800 [1] - 2:23	90 [3] - 9:10, 9:14,	aided [1] - 1:25	attached [1] - 41:21	bodily [1] - 9:13
29 [1] - 1:8	11:1	aim [1] - 46:13	attend [1] - 35:18	boils [1] - 15:12
2900 [1] - 1:17	90067 [1] - 2:16	aligned [1] - 39:1	attorney [1] - 32:3	break [1] - 25:3
		alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6	attorney-client [1] -	brief [1] - 43:12
	Α	allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6 almost [1] - 46:1	32:3 August [12] - 10:3,	briefly [1] - 40:1
	a m /c1 4.0 4.0 00.0	alternative[2] - 13:14,	10:5, 10:13, 10:22,	BRISBOIS [1] - 3:7
	a.m [5] - 1:8, 4:2, 33:3,	uncinadive[2] - 10.14,	10.0, 10.13, 10.22,	1.9

consistent [2] - 42:25,

constructive [1] -

consultant [1] - 6:5

43.1

43:13

broad [2] - 21:22, 42:16 broader [1] - 24:16 broadly [1] - 23:16 building [1] - 45:17 Building [1] - 1:6 burst [1] - 45:24 business [2] - 5:16, 24:20 busy [1] - 40:16 BY [11] - 1:14, 1:17, 1:20, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:15, 2:19, 2:22, 3:3, 3:7

C

CAL [1] - 31:5 California [1] - 48:22 CALIFORNIA [1] -2:16 call-in [1] - 33:1 callback[1] - 32:1 Camden [1] - 1:7 **CAMP**[1] - 2:3 cancer [14] - 39:11, 40:10, 40:14, 41:15, 42:2, 42:8, 42:14, 42:16, 42:17, 43:6, 43:22, 44:1, 44:17, cancers [4] - 39:13, 42:11, 44:4, 44:23 candid [1] - 6:7 cannot[1] - 42:16 capital [1] - 13:6 care [3] - 13:16, 20:4, 26:24 Carol [2] - 1:23, 49:22 case [40] - 14:18, 15:1, 15:3, 15:6, 15:14, 15:16, 15:24, 15:25, 16:12, 16:15, 17:10, 17:14, 17:15, 17:19, 20:15, 20:17, 26:9, 30:2, 32:2, 33:20, 34:3, 37:7, 37:21, 37:22, 38:1, 38:4, 38:5, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9, 38:14, 38:16, 38:17, 38:18, 41:9, 41:10, 41:25, 42:10, 43:17, 43:18 cases [18] - 7:19, 9:13, 27:8, 36:10, 36:18, 37:2, 37:7, 37:11, 37:14, 37:15, 37:19, 38:10, 38:24, 41:19, 42:15, 45:9, 48:14 categories [1] - 33:19

causation [11] - 27:10, 39:10, 41:12, 41:20, 42:5, 42:23, 43:19, 44:12, 44:24, 45:8, 46.4 caused [4] - 39:13, 44:1. 44:5 causing [1] - 46:18 centrality [1] - 34:15 **CENTURY**[1] - 2:16 certain [1] - 13:4 certainly [8] - 10:18, 26:20, 28:7, 29:12, 29:19, 29:23, 35:23, 41:17 certify [1] - 49:19 cfarrell.crr@gmail. com[1] - 1:23 chain [3] - 7:11, 7:20, chance [1] - 24:14 chances [1] - 32:2 change [3] - 13:1, 20:8, 41:1 check[1] - 48:23 **chime** [1] - 20:6 choosing [1] - 29:3 **CINCINNATI** [1] - 2:23 circulated [1] - 35:19 CIVIL [1] - 1:2 civil [1] - 48:15 claim [2] - 39:12, 44:4 claims [4] - 36:2, 43:22, 43:25, 44:17 clarify [1] - 18:17 class [9] - 7:25, 8:7, 8:9, 10:2, 11:6, 20:6, 20:17, 40:25, 41:13 class-action [2] -10:2, 11:6 classes [1] - 42:16 cleaning [1] - 14:4 clear [2] - 15:18, 27:20 clearly [2] - 15:16, 39:11 client [4] - 12:8, 17:1, 18:18, 32:3 clients [3] - 12:2, 14:6, 26:19 clients' [2] - 12:1, 25:9 close [1] - 6:23 closed [2] - 41:3, 43:7 closed-door [1] - 41:3 closest[1] - 7:20 CMC[2] - 40:8, 40:12 **CMML** [1] - 31:5 CMO_[2] - 16:17, 16:20 codes [1] - 25:19

COHEN [6] - 3:3, 4:23,

39:19, 39:24, 46:10,

46:23 Cohen [5] - 1:6, 4:24, 32:24, 39:19, 46:23 colleagues [1] - 20:6 collecting [1] - 17:1 column [1] - 35:3 comfortable [2] -27:23, 30:16 coming [1] - 24:23 **Commencing** [1] - 1:8 comments [1] - 40:10 communication [1] -27:17 company [3] - 22:10, 22:17, 24:23 complaints [2] - 27:6, 42:15 complete [12] - 9:10, 9:15, 11:22, 11:23, 12:7, 12:12, 12:16, 13:3, 13:7, 13:10, 38:7 completely [1] - 49:9 completion [2] - 8:15, 13:8 compromise [4] -18:1. 22:8. 23:23. 27:22 computer [1] - 1:25 computer-aided [1] -1:25 conceivable [1] - 44:1 concepts [1] - 28:17 concern [5] - 24:1, 26:2, 45:10, 47:8, 47:13 concerns [1] - 13:2 concluded [1] - 49:15 concrete [2] - 28:19, 28:24 confer[3] - 6:10, 35:16, 40:5 CONFERENCE [1] -1:5 conference [7] - 4:7, 34:22, 34:24, 36:15, 40:20, 47:25 conferences [1] - 48:4 conferred [1] - 6:3 confers [1] - 35:10 confident[1] - 31:24 **CONLEE** [1] - 2:3 Conlee [1] - 4:17 consider[1] - 12:17 considerable [1] -42:7 consideration [1] -12:23 considering [2] - 31:1, 31:11

consumer [1] - 7:20 contact [4] - 32:18, 32:25, 34:14, 39:5 containing [2] - 44:2, 44:6 context [1] - 45:16 continue [3] - 36:24, 44:14, 46:5 Continued [2] - 2:1, continuing [1] - 29:5 conversation [4] - 6:7, 9:22, 9:25, 18:4 Cooper[1] - 1:7 coordinate [1] - 38:12 coordination [2] -27:8, 37:1 core [2] - 33:16, 40:17 corner [1] - 22:11 correct [14] - 7:24, 8:1, 8:7, 26:25, 33:20, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:8, 35:9, 37:4, 37:6, 39:8, 49:19 correctly[1] - 22:8 counsel [15] - 4:6, 4:20, 7:3, 7:13, 16:14, 18:4, 22:8, 27:17, 35:11, 35:17, 35:18, 35:21, 35:24, 37:13, 40:3 course [4] - 16:18, 24:19, 41:1, 45:7 court [9] - 4:8, 27:8, 37:2, 37:21, 37:24, 38:1, 46:21, 47:7, 47:8 Court [27] - 1:23, 6:1, 7:23, 13:3, 13:12, 14:8, 16:24, 17:20, 19:25, 20:6, 20:25, 27:23, 28:3, 28:9, 29:3, 29:12, 29:21, 31:1, 31:10, 36:13, 37:3, 37:19, 42:22, 43:7, 43:12, 47:23, 49.22 COURT [75] - 1:1, 4:3, 4:19, 5:10, 7:12, 7:16, 7:22, 8:3, 8:6, 8:11, 8:17, 8:22, 10:8. 10:15. 10:20. 11:14, 11:19, 12:13, 12:24, 13:21, 14:9,

14:11, 15:7, 16:3, 16:9, 16:11, 16:21, 16:23, 17:2, 17:8, 18:13, 18:17, 19:8, 19:13, 20:3, 20:20, 21:8, 21:10, 22:7, 22:15, 23:18, 25:1, 25:4, 25:5, 25:23, 26:23, 28:12, 29:16, 30:7, 30:23, 32:11, 32:22, 33:8, 33:25, 34:6, 34:11, 34:23, 35:7, 36:4, 36:13, 36:25, 37:9, 37:18, 38:9, 38:15, 38:21, 39:3, 39:9, 39:23, 43:15, 46:1, 46:21, 46:25, 47:6, 48:25 Court's [9] - 7:23, 12:24, 13:24, 19:15, 20:3, 23:3, 26:19, 26:25, 30:10 **Courthouse** [1] - 1:6 courthouses [1] -49:10 courtroom [3] - 47:10, 47:24, 48:7 **courtrooms** [1] - 48:9 COVID[3] - 40:21, 47:8, 48:18 crazy [1] - 49:5 CRC[1] - 49:22 CRCR[1] - 49:22 creating [1] - 28:8 CRI [1] - 49:22 criminal [1] - 48:13 crosstalk [2] - 9:18, 13:25 CRR[1] - 49:22 curious [1] - 39:4 custody [1] - 48:14 CVS [6] - 2:17, 22:10, 22:17, 22:23, 23:9, 23:16

D

D'Lesli [2] - 22:18, 25:2 D'LESLI [1] - 2:19 D.C [1] - 5:16 damn [1] - 49:8 DANIEL [1] - 1:20 Daniel [4] - 4:15, 9:4, 9:8, 9:16 data [3] - 21:15, 21:22, 22:1 Date [1] - 49:24 date [3] - 11:5, 11:7, 12:16 dates [2] - 24:1, 35:2 Daubert [5] - 42:6, 42:24, 43:7, 43:8, 44:7 David [2] - 34:13, 36:6 DAVIS [4] - 2:19. 22:18, 24:15, 25:3 Davis [3] - 22:18, 25:2, 25:4 days [33] - 7:14, 7:15, 8:20, 9:10, 9:12, 9:14, 10:7, 10:23, 10:25, 11:1, 11:2, 11:4, 11:6, 11:7, 11:10, 11:11, 17:5, 17:11, 17:12, 17:24, 19:17, 22:6, 23:5, 27:19, 47:17, 49:4, 49:5 deadline [4] - 9:12, 10:3, 10:4, 21:4 deadlines [4] - 10:6, 10:7, 17:6, 32:13 deal [7] - 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 13:9, 14:13, 14:14, 36:17 dealing [5] - 5:16, 6:18, 32:4, 39:14, **December**[1] - 23:25 decide [1] - 27:23 decided [1] - 28:6 declared [1] - 12:9 defective [1] - 24:20 Defendant [3] - 2:20, 2:24, 3:9 defendant [4] - 7:2, 7:10, 22:14, 23:22 defendant's [2] -12:10, 19:5 defendants [30] -4:20, 4:22, 4:24, 5:1, 5:3, 5:6, 5:8, 7:6, 7:16, 7:20, 10:11, 11:22, 12:14, 14:2, 15:6, 15:15, 15:18, 16:2, 21:5, 23:22, 24:1, 24:9, 27:21, 28:14, 33:24, 34:18, 39:10, 45:2, 47:5, 48:14 Defendants [3] - 2:12, 2:17, 3:5 defendants' [12] - 7:8, 12:6, 13:5, 15:4, 16:17, 17:7, 18:8, 18:15, 19:2, 19:4, 20:14, 25:24 DEFENDANTS'[1] -1:6

defense [7] - 9:11, 10:6, 29:20, 34:19, 40:22, 45:19, 46:15 defer [1] - 34:9 deficiencies [1] -33:16 deficiency [2] - 35:12, 35:15 deficient [1] - 36:18 defined [1] - 23:15 delete [2] - 19:2, 19:7 delighted [1] - 27:4 denied [1] - 20:25 derail [2] - 40:23, 41:16 described [1] - 43:10 designated [1] - 34:19 desire [2] - 40:22, 41:4 detail [1] - 23:10 details [2] - 45:12, 48:8 devil's [1] - 45:12 devotion [1] - 31:14 DFS [2] - 18:6, 21:24 different [7] - 15:9, 20:13, 22:23, 22:24, 22:25, 24:4, 24:5 differently [1] - 15:14 direct [1] - 38:24 disagreement[1] - 6:8 disagreements [1] -14:4 disclose [3] - 42:1, 42:11, 43:6 disclosure[1] - 31:18 discovery [9] - 16:1, 23:24, 25:19, 40:17, 40:23, 42:9, 44:9, 45:2, 45:24 discuss [4] - 27:13, 35:25, 44:14, 46:5 discussed [7] - 17:7, 26:4. 26:5. 26:8. 27:20, 28:17, 43:2 discussing [1] - 46:12 discussion [7] -18:15, 18:20, 18:23. 28:20, 29:5, 29:8, 40:5 discussions [4] -16:13, 28:18, 29:11, 42:25 dismiss [2] - 37:20, 38:19 dismissal [5] - 14:23, 15:4, 17:23, 18:19, 19:17 dismissed [7] - 16:16, 16:24, 17:10, 17:14,

36:11, 36:14, 37:23 dispute [5] - 7:7, 7:9, 7:10, 13:11, 38:13 disputes [3] - 8:15, 10:1, 21:7 disrupt [1] - 40:25 distinguish [1] - 23:3 distribution [2] - 12:3, 24:10 District [5] - 33:5, 48:12, 48:16, 48:18, 49:6 **DISTRICT**[3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:11 diversity [1] - 38:8 dividers [1] - 48:7 Docket[1] - 4:4 document[1] - 14:5 documents [8] - 17:1, 23:8, 23:10, 31:2, 31:22, 31:25, 32:4, done [6] - 5:14, 8:3, 32:14, 45:20, 46:14, 47:10 door [3] - 20:23, 41:3 down 181 - 8:24. 15:13. 22:11, 26:21, 35:12, 40:13, 41:8, 42:23 dozen [3] - 35:21, 35:24, 36:1 DR[1] - 30:22 **Dr** [5] - 5:3, 5:12, 6:4, 30:3, 30:20 draft [2] - 17:20, 29:8 drafting [1] - 30:12 drag [1] - 27:25 drill [2] - 8:24, 40:13 drugs [4] - 23:20, 39:13, 44:2, 44:6 **DUANE** [1] - 2:9 due [2] - 20:21, 35:14 duty [1] - 13:5

Ε

e-mail [1] - 35:22

early [3] - 6:17, 29:12, 30:12 EAST[1] - 2:16 economic [2] - 40:24, 45:16 effect [3] - 17:9, 17:21, 19:16 effectively [1] - 9:14 efficient [2] - 41:15, 46:11 efficiently [1] - 42:21 effort [1] - 44:9 eight [3] - 21:19,

21:21, 23:14 EISENHOWER [1] -1:14 either [1] - 36:10 ELECTRONIC [3] -10:19, 29:1, 34:5 end [8] - 5:23, 6:14, 6:16, 43:23, 46:3, 46:6, 46:14 ends [1] - 29:4 enormous [1] - 31:6 enter [4] - 14:8, 27:2, 30:14, 44:11 entered [6] - 6:24, 8:19, 16:17, 30:19, 32:1, 37:6 entering [1] - 30:12 entire[1] - 12:6 entirety [1] - 23:9 entitled [2] - 41:18, 49:20 entries [2] - 4:5, 4:9 **ESQUIRE** [15] - 1:14, 1:17, 1:20, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:10, 2:15, 2:15, 2:19, 2:22, 3:3, 3:3. 3:4. 3:7 establish [1] - 42:18 exact[1] - 30:24 exactly [3] - 26:17, 45:15, 48:25 example [2] - 12:9, 41:23 excess[1] - 12:11 **Exhibit** [1] - 42:3 existed [1] - 24:14 expansive [1] - 21:22 expect[4] - 4:6, 13:16, 17:12, 38:6 expense [1] - 42:7 expert [9] - 31:19, 39:12, 42:2, 42:12, 44:25, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 46:4 experts [1] - 44:7 **expiration** [1] - 24:24 **explaining** [1] - 18:10 extended [1] - 32:13 extends [1] - 7:10 extent [3] - 15:1, 17:9, 19:20 extra [3] - 10:24, 10:25, 11:9

F

facility [1] - 23:8 FACT [1] - 1:6 fact [58] - 6:20, 6:22, 6:23, 7:2, 7:8, 7:10,

7:17, 8:13, 8:14, 8:19, 9:11, 9:17, 10:1, 10:7, 11:23, 12:6, 12:10, 12:15, 12:20, 12:25, 13:3, 13:7, 13:22, 13:23, 14:8, 14:25, 15:19, 17:7, 17:11, 17:16, 17:19, 17:25, 18:8, 18:16, 18:19, 19:2, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 19:18, 19:23, 20:14, 21:6, 21:14, 21:18, 22:5, 22:22, 23:15, 25:25, 26:7, 26:24, 27:1, 27:4, 31:7, 33:16, 33:23, 35:11, 35:13 fair [1] - 18:1 faith [2] - 6:8, 28:22 families [1] - 49:4 far [2] - 35:2, 49:6 Farrell [3] - 1:23, 25:4, 49.22 favor [1] - 25:24 FCRR [1] - 49:22 February [2] - 40:7, 40:19 few [2] - 15:13, 27:19 figure [1] - 25:8 filed [9] - 7:4, 12:17, 35:8, 37:2, 37:11, 37:12, 37:15, 37:20 filing [1] - 42:6 fill [2] - 13:4, 22:21 final [5] - 14:6, 14:7, 26:25, 27:1 finalize [1] - 19:9 finalized [3] - 6:24, 13:23, 13:24 finalizing [1] - 6:23 finally [1] - 27:4 **findings** [1] - 30:15 fine [3] - 10:21, 11:12, 24:13 firm [1] - 37:13 firms [3] - 37:13, 37:17, 39:1 first [15] - 7:1, 7:4, 7:7, 7:9, 7:16, 22:6, 31:9, 33:19, 34:2, 34:14, 34:21, 40:8, 40:12, 43.2 FISA [1] - 5:16 five [2] - 8:16, 8:22 FL [1] - 1:21 flip[1] - 34:23

floor[1] - 27:14

Florida [1] - 41:8

focus [7] - 25:24,

40:24, 41:12, 41:15, 44:4, 45:16, 46:2 followed [1] - 35:20 following [3] - 27:16, 30:1, 36:9 FOR [1] - 1:1 foregoing [1] - 49:19 foresee [1] - 48:15 forever[1] - 44:18 forgot[1] - 12:9 **form** [2] - 27:6, 42:15 formation [2] - 41:25, 43:5 formed [3] - 40:7, 41:8, 41:24 forth [1] - 28:1 forward [4] - 40:22, 41:5, 41:17, 46:11 frame[1] - 27:3 frankly [3] - 31:19, 31:22, 47:13 FREEMAN[1] - 1:13 Friday [6] - 14:7, 27:2, 28:2, 29:9, 30:12, 30:16 Friday's [1] - 14:9 front [3] - 20:23, 28:19, 28:24 **FULBRIGHT** [1] - 2:18 **full** [5] - 23:4, 23:10, 23:14, 31:18, 40:4 fully [1] - 41:18 fulsome [1] - 32:6 future [3] - 44:5, 44:15, 48:8

G

general [11] - 27:10, 39:10, 41:12, 41:20, 42:5, 42:23, 43:19, 44:11, 44:24, 45:8, 46:4 generic [1] - 26:1 **Geoppinger** [7] - 5:8, 15:12, 18:9, 18:25, 21:3, 21:11, 21:12 GEOPPINGER [5] -2:22, 5:7, 15:11, 21:11, 22:13 **GEORGIA** [1] - 3:5 giant [1] - 44:22 Gilman [1] - 34:14 given [3] - 6:11, 31:7, 32:4 global [1] - 20:7 globally [1] - 24:22 goal [1] - 46:2 **Godfather** [1] - 31:16

GOLDBERG [8] -

2:10, 4:21, 38:2, 38:11, 38:16, 39:18, 39:21, 47:4 Goldberg [9] - 4:22, 7:4, 13:22, 33:21, 38:2, 39:16, 39:20, 39:25, 47:4 Goldberg's [3] - 6:19, 14:15, 33:18 GOLDENBERG [16] -2:6, 9:21, 10:10, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 11:20, 12:22, 13:20, 14:19, 20:2, 20:5, 21:2, 23:19, 25:6, 26:14 Goldenberg [20] -9:23, 10:8, 11:18, 12:20, 13:18, 14:20, 15:23, 18:5, 18:14, 18:20, 18:23, 18:25, 19:6, 19:10, 19:24, 20:20, 25:6, 26:2, 26:8, 26:23 **GOLDENBERGLAW** [1] - 2:6 **GOLOMB**[1] - 1:16 good-faith [1] - 6:8 governing [1] - 46:4 great [6] - 14:9, 14:11, 21:8, 32:23, 36:25, 39:23 **GREENBERG** [1] - 3:2 Greene [4] - 5:2, 5:12, 28:12, 28:13 **GREENE** [5] - 3:4, 5:2, 28:11, 28:13, 29:2 **Grossman** [9] - 5:3, 6:4, 16:6, 16:9, 18:3, 18:17, 18:21, 30:3, 30:20 GROSSMAN [12] -3:7, 16:5, 16:10, 16:13, 16:22, 16:25, 17:4, 18:2, 18:14, 18:21, 19:12, 30:22 **Grossman's** [1] - 5:12 group [4] - 6:10, 8:16, 10:6, 39:5 groups [2] - 11:11, 24:8 guess [5] - 8:23, 10:24, 11:16, 33:10, 45:12

Η

guidelines [1] - 42:17

half [1] - 12:11

Guillory [1] - 35:2

hand [2] - 9:4, 27:25 **handle** [1] - 48:5 handled [2] - 24:15, 37:17 handling [2] - 33:23, 34:9 hang [2] - 32:17, 49:13 happy [4] - 11:13, 20:15, 28:16, 45:19 harm [1] - 29:24 **Healthcare** [1] - 2:12 healthy [1] - 49:3 hear [2] - 7:4, 43:15 hearing [1] - 43:8 heavy [1] - 40:12 heck[1] - 47:7 held [2] - 4:1, 33:4 hello [1] - 33:8 help[2] - 11:3, 16:3 helpful [2] - 28:20, 41:20 hermetically [1] - 5:15 herself [1] - 49:9 himself [1] - 5:17 hit [1] - 45:11 hold [1] - 22:15 **holding** [1] - 12:10 **Honik** [1] - 4:13 HONIK [3] - 1:16, 1:17, 4:13 Honor [60] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:23, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7, 7:5, 9:3, 9:19, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 13:20, 14:19, 15:11, 15:13, 16:5, 16:25, 17:6, 18:2, 19:3, 20:5, 21:2, 21:9, 21:15, 22:14, 23:19, 26:14, 27:15, 27:19, 28:11, 28:13, 29:14, 29:17, 30:22, 32:10, 32:21, 33:22, 34:8, 34:12, 35:5, 35:9, 36:6, 36:20, 37:5, 38:2, 38:11, 38:22, 39:18, 40:1, 40:6, 40:24, 43:1, 44:20, 46:8, 46:9, 47:5 Honor's [1] - 27:18 HONORABLE [2] -1:9, 1:11 Honorable [3] - 4:1, 33:4, 33:6 hope [3] - 12:14, 14:5, 19:20 hopeful [1] - 36:9 hopefully [7] - 17:22,

31:15, 32:6, 34:25, 43:12, 46:14, 46:19 horrible [1] - 49:9 Hotel [1] - 48:22 Huahai [1] - 2:13 human [1] - 31:23 humans [1] - 31:21

1

idea [1] - 46:6

identification [2] -

identified [5] - 8:2,

8:25, 11:8, 42:14,

identify [8] - 9:2, 9:12,

10:9, 10:21, 11:2,

38:19, 39:11

44:24

12:19, 25:1, 31:21 identifying [1] - 29:6 Illinois [3] - 37:19, 38:1, 38:16 illustration [1] - 42:13 implicate [1] - 29:22 **importance**[1] - 40:10 important [6] - 20:18, 25:14, 25:15, 40:13, 43:11, 46:15 impossible [1] - 47:17 impurities [3] - 39:13, 44:2, 46:18 IN [1] - 1:3 in-court [1] - 47:8 in-person [3] - 40:19, 47:22, 48:4 incentivize [3] - 13:2, 13:6. 19:22 included [1] - 18:7 including [1] - 5:12 inclusive [1] - 12:4 incredible [2] - 31:13 increment [1] - 23:5 incremental [2] - 23:1, 23:13 individual [5] - 21:18, 23:5, 23:6, 35:20, 37:6 individualized [1] -26:7 indulge [1] - 5:20 infinitesimally [1] -32:3 informally [1] - 39:5 information [18] -11:24, 12:5, 15:2, 16:19, 20:11, 20:17, 20:18, 20:24, 21:17, 22:1, 22:11, 22:13, 24:18, 26:6, 26:15, 29:24, 38:25, 48:1

initial [1] - 40:9 initiate [1] - 45:25 injury [7] - 8:1, 9:13, 10:4, 11:7, 40:23, 41:19, 45:9 instances [1] - 8:16 intend [3] - 9:1, 10:9, 36:2 interested [2] - 48:10, 48:17 interesting [3] - 14:17, 38:17, 43:17 interests [1] - 31:18 interfere [1] - 43:9 internal [1] - 45:4 introductory [1] - 7:3 inventory [1] - 24:23 invited [1] - 35:17 involved [3] - 22:19, 38:24, 41:8 issue [37] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 6:20, 6:22, 7:2, 7:8, 10:9, 11:14, 12:24, 13:17, 14:16, 14:17, 17:19, 20:1, 21:13, 25:23, 26:4, 26:12, 27:12, 27:13, 27:24, 28:9, 34:13, 34:15, 34:20, 36:13, 37:1, 39:9, 39:10, 40:11, 41:12, 43:17, 43:19, 44:24, 46:15 issue's [1] - 28:5 issues [29] - 5:14, 5:18, 5:19, 5:22, 6:7, 6:18, 14:12, 14:14, 18:5, 18:6, 19:1, 19:4, 20:7, 24:25, 26:1, 28:8, 29:6, 35:12, 36:11, 37:14, 40:10, 40:14, 40:17, 41:15, 42:8, 44:10, 46:5, 46:25, 48:2 item [1] - 7:1

J

James [1] - 33:20 January [1] - 23:25 Jeff [5] - 5:2, 5:7, 15:11, 21:11, 28:13 JEFFREY [2] - 2:22, 3:4 JERSEY [2] - 1:1, 1:15 Jersey [13] - 1:7, 37:3, 37:16, 47:14, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 48:12, 48:16, 48:19, 49:7, 49:11 job [1] - 13:7

Maura [1] - 5:3

Maxine [1] - 35:2

Maxton [1] - 38:5

Joel [2] - 4:1, 33:6 JOEL [1] - 1:9 Johnston [1] - 5:6 JOHNSTON [2] - 2:15, 5:5 Joining [1] - 34:5 joining [3] - 5:14, 10:19, 29:1 joins [4] - 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11 Judge [28] - 4:2, 5:13, 6:21, 10:10, 22:18, 24:15, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11, 29:15, 32:18, 32:25, 33:5, 33:6, 33:8, 33:12, 37:10, 37:18, 40:8, 40:21, 41:2, 41:9, 41:18, 42:25, 44:8, 48:21, 49:7 JUDGE [4] - 1:10, 1:11, 37:10, 48:21 judge [1] - 38:5 judges [2] - 48:13, July 131 - 1:8, 31:8, 33:18 jump [2] - 29:11, 30:7 jury [2] - 48:10, 48:11

K

KANNER [1] - 2:2 KATZ[1] - 1:13 keep [3] - 11:11, 25:24, 32:14 keeping [1] - 17:6 kept [2] - 23:12, 23:17 kind [5] - 6:4, 39:12, 42:5, 44:7, 48:7 kinds [2] - 44:10, 48:6 knowledge [1] - 38:23 **known** [1] - 24:13 knows [2] - 4:8, 8:6 **KRISTEN** [1] - 2:15 Kristen [4] - 9:19, 9:21, 9:24, 14:1 kudos [1] - 16:9 Kugler [10] - 5:13, 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:18, 32:25, 33:5, 33:8, 37:18 KUGLER [1] - 1:11

L

lack[1] - 22:20 large[1] - 35:11 LASALLE[1] - 2:7 last[9] - 5:21, 6:6,

22:6, 26:24, 27:12, 35:16, 40:2, 40:19, 42:24 late [3] - 5:25, 6:11, 30:17 Laughter [1] - 48:24 Law [1] - 34:14 lawyer [3] - 34:19, 38:9, 38:17 lawyers [2] - 38:10, 39.6 lead [3] - 4:6, 4:20, 13:14 leadership [2] - 38:23, 39:5 learn [1] - 46:18 least [2] - 8:18, 43:3 leave [3] - 12:25, 13:15, 48:23 leaves [2] - 27:12, 37:9 left [5] - 6:6, 6:8, 15:14, 16:12, 17:18 legitimate [1] - 26:3 lesson [1] - 41:14 letter [13] - 6:19, 7:1, 13:21, 14:15, 27:6, 33:18, 35:6, 40:2, 41:1, 41:21, 42:3, 42:13, 43:16 letters [2] - 5:11, 35:15 letting [2] - 9:6, 27:23 level [1] - 29:23 levels [1] - 7:11 **LEVIN**[1] - 1:20 LEWIS [1] - 3:7 **LIABILITY** [1] - 1:4 lift [1] - 40:12 likely [2] - 35:6, 36:1 limit [1] - 25:17 limited [1] - 24:21 limiting [2] - 24:1, 25:19 line [3] - 5:4, 32:22 linger [1] - 32:13 list [3] - 34:24, 35:19, 47:15 listed [3] - 7:1, 35:21, 35:24 listen [1] - 10:20 listing [5] - 33:19,

34:2, 34:14, 35:1

listings [1] - 33:16

literature [1] - 45:5

litigation [5] - 4:4,

16:8, 24:25, 26:13,

LITIGATION [1] - 1:4

lists [1] - 35:17

live [1] - 26:20 LLC [3] - 1:13, 2:2, 2:12 LLLP [1] - 2:22 LLP[4] - 2:9, 2:14, 2:18. 3:2 local [1] - 37:13 LOCKARD[7] - 3:3, 4:25, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:9, 36:20 Lockard [4] - 4:25, 32:24, 33:23, 36:8 logic [1] - 29:5 logically [1] - 15:19 look [4] - 23:16, 38:7, 40:13, 42:10 looked [1] - 24:12 looking [4] - 13:21, 14:15, 45:3, 45:5 looks [1] - 6:22 LORI [1] - 3:3 Lori [4] - 4:23, 39:19, 39:21, 46:23 LOS [1] - 2:16 lose[1] - 32:23 loss[1] - 45:16 **LOUISIANA** [1] - 2:4 Ltd [1] - 2:13 luck[1] - 49:14

М

machinations [1] -24.24 MAGISTRATE[1] -1:10 Magistrate [2] - 4:2, 33.6 mail [1] - 35:22 main [1] - 45:10 majority [1] - 35:11 management[1] -41:11 manner [1] - 43:13 manufacturer [4] -7:2, 7:8, 13:23, 22:24 manufacturers [2] -7:7, 7:18 March [2] - 40:9, 41:24 MARKET [1] - 1:17 Marlene [6] - 9:9. 9:20, 9:23, 11:18, 14:19, 25:6 **MARLENE** [1] - 2:6 masks [1] - 48:6 material [2] - 13:10, 32:3 matter[4] - 4:3, 19:8, 44:25, 49:20

MAZIE [1] - 1:13 McKesson[1] - 2:20 MDL [11] - 4:4, 30:5, 37:22, 38:14, 38:18, 40:7, 41:8, 41:23, 42:1, 43:2, 43:5 mean [5] - 12:9, 25:8, 25:14, 26:8, 26:19 meaning [1] - 24:25 meaningful [1] - 46:11 mechanical [1] - 1:25 meet [3] - 35:10, 35:15, 40:4 meeting [3] - 10:19, 29:1, 34:5 meetings [3] - 44:15, 47:22, 47:24 Megan [3] - 16:5, 18:3, 18:21 MEGAN [1] - 3:7 members [1] - 34:8 mention [1] - 43:4 met[1] - 6:2 methodical [1] - 45:18 might [5] - 13:6, 23:17, 24:3, 24:5, 24:6 mind [4] - 10:6, 17:6, 30:8, 32:9 MINNEAPOLIS [1] -2:7 minus [1] - 8:12 minutes [1] - 19:8 miss [1] - 20:6 missed [1] - 21:4 missing [1] - 12:16 misspeak[1] - 41:4 mistake[1] - 35:2 Mitchell [1] - 1:6 MITCHELL [1] - 1:20 MN[1] - 2:7 moment[1] - 36:19 Monday [5] - 28:1, 28:3, 30:17, 30:18, 32:12 month [1] - 48:2 months [11] - 14:24, 22:5, 23:4, 31:8, 35:14, 41:24, 41:25, 43:5, 43:6, 45:21 morning [14] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7, 5:14, 6:15, 30:19, 33:13, 34:12, 40:1 MORRIS [1] - 2:9 most [3] - 7:19, 30:4,

36:10 motion [2] - 37:20, 38:19 motions [2] - 42:6, 43:7 move [6] - 10:20, 17:5, 19:22, 32:15, 40:22, 46:10 movement[1] - 38:6 moving [2] - 27:6, 30:14 MR [38] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:21, 5:2, 5:7, 9:3, 9:5, 9:6, 9:8, 9:17, 15:11, 21:9, 21:11, 22:13, 27:15, 28:11, 28:13, 29:2, 29:14, 29:17, 32:10, 32:21, 34:8, 34:12, 36:6, 37:5, 37:12, 38:2, 38:11, 38:16, 38:22, 39:8, 39:18, 39:21, 44:20, 47:2, 47:4 **MS** [53] - 4:17, 4:23, 4:25, 5:5, 7:5, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:5, 8:9, 8:14, 8:21, 9:16, 9:19, 9:21, 10:10, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 11:20, 12:22, 13:20, 14:1, 14:10, 14:19, 16:5, 16:10, 16:13, 16:22, 16:25, 17:4, 18:2, 18:14, 18:21, 19:12, 20:2, 20:5, 21:2, 22:18, 23:19, 24:15, 25:3, 25:6, 26:14, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:9, 36:20, 39:19, 39:24, 46:10, 46:23 multiple [1] - 35:22 must [1] - 47:6

N

name [1] - 4:7 named [2] - 7:24, 8:7 names [1] - 10:13 narrow [2] - 25:13, 25:21 nature [1] - 48:5 nauseam [1] - 13:1 NDC [3] - 22:22, 22:24, 25:19 NE [1] - 3:4 necessarily [1] - 18:11 need [19] - 5:15, 11:25, 12:4, 14:25,

16:1, 20:17, 26:6, 32:17, 33:10, 33:15, 34:17, 36:18, 37:15, 44:6, 44:10, 44:13, 44:24, 46:25, 47:21 needs [1] - 41:17 negotiate [2] - 15:21, 18:10 negotiated [3] - 13:1, 15:21, 31:7 negotiating [1] - 22:19 network[1] - 24:10 never[1] - 24:13 new [1] - 37:2 **NEW** [5] - 1:1, 1:15, 2:4, 2:20 New [13] - 1:7, 37:3, 37:16, 47:14, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 48:12, 48:16, 48:19, 49:7, 49:11 newly [1] - 41:8 news [1] - 21:3 next[10] - 6:17, 19:17, 29:12, 34:21, 34:24, 36:15, 37:1, 39:9, 45:21, 48:16 NIGH [5] - 1:20, 4:15, 9:5, 9:8, 9:17 Nigh [2] - 4:15, 9:8 night [1] - 6:6 nine [1] - 31:8 **NJ**[1] - 49:22 NJ-CRCR[1] - 49:22 **nobody** [1] - 38:24 nobody's [1] - 38:24 non[1] - 6:18 non-TAR[1] - 6:18 nonresponsive [2] -31:2, 31:21 **NORTON**[1] - 2:18 note [1] - 24:19 nothing [2] - 32:16, 49:8 notice [1] - 6:11 notices [1] - 35:22 November [2] - 35:4, 48:11 number [18] - 5:18, 8:8, 8:12, 19:14, 19:24, 20:4, 20:24, 21:1, 21:3, 22:24, 32:18, 32:21, 33:1, 36:23, 37:2, 37:12, 41:10, 47:11 **NUMBER**[1] - 1:2 Number [3] - 4:4, 22:22, 35:2 numbers [2] - 8:18, 22:21

0

object [1] - 15:8 objecting [1] - 15:9 objection [1] - 44:9 obstacle [1] - 47:21 obviously [8] - 27:19, 27:22, 28:7, 40:10, 40:16, 44:14, 44:21, 49:13 occurred [1] - 28:1 **OF**_[2] - 1:1, 2:19 offer [2] - 31:16, 32:7 offered [1] - 23:23 offering [1] - 19:6 Official [1] - 1:23 often [1] - 7:21 **OHIO** [1] - 2:23 **ON**[1] - 1:5 one [21] - 12:14, 13:1, 16:7, 16:14, 19:14, 21:2, 22:23, 24:6, 24:8, 24:12, 26:9, 26:10, 28:6, 29:3, 34:2, 37:20, 37:21, 38:10, 41:21, 41:23, 47:10 open [1] - 47:24 opinion [1] - 30:13 opportunities [1] -35:22 opportunity [2] - 18:4, 40:15 opposed [6] - 11:1, 11:10, 18:11, 19:5, 28:2, 37:16 ORAL [1] - 1:5 order [12] - 16:24, 19:15, 20:16, 26:25, 27:2, 30:12, 30:13, 30:15, 30:18, 32:1, 36:3, 36:10 ordered [3] - 7:23. 24:23. 25:12 orders [6] - 33:17, 36:13, 37:6, 44:11, 46:4, 47:13 original [1] - 11:1 originally [1] - 23:19 ORLEANS [1] - 2:4 otherwise [2] - 36:11, 40:18 ought [2] - 33:10, 47:25 outside [1] - 12:5 over-ordered [1] -24:23

overly [1] - 12:4

13:10

oversight [2] - 12:18,

own [1] - 15:15

P P.A [1] - 1:20 **P.C**[1] - 1:16 Page [1] - 14:15 PAPANTONIO [1] -1:20 paragraph [3] - 7:3, 7:7, 7:9 parallel [6] - 41:2, 41:5, 41:13, 43:9, 44:9, 45:11 parcel [1] - 42:8 **PARK**[1] - 2:16 PARKWAY [1] - 1:14 part[10] - 9:25, 10:12, 16:16, 17:15, 18:18, 19:17, 19:21, 22:19, 32:19, 42:8 particular [7] - 22:9, 22:10, 22:12, 22:17, 23:11, 25:23, 29:22 particularly [1] - 48:14 parties [8] - 4:22, 6:8, 15:10, 28:19, 36:22, 38:3, 38:20, 42:7 past[1] - 40:16 patchwork[1] - 24:16 pending [1] - 37:19 PENNSYLVANIA [3] -1:18, 2:11, 3:8 PENSACOLA[1] -1:21 people [15] - 5:24, 7:25, 8:20, 9:2, 10:9, 10:22, 11:2, 11:8, 12:19, 33:9, 33:19, 35:7, 45:5, 47:11, 48:4 perfect [1] - 26:16 perfectly [3] - 26:3, 30:16 perhaps [1] - 28:4 period [13] - 21:19, 22:4, 22:12, 23:2, 23:3, 23:4, 23:13, 23:14, 23:15, 23:22, 23:24, 25:18 peripheral [5] - 14:23, 15:3, 15:15, 15:18, 16:17 person [6] - 24:4, 31:4, 31:23, 40:19, 47:22, 48:4 personal [6] - 8:1, 10:4, 11:7, 40:23,

29:10 PFS [2] - 35:8, 36:18 **ph** [1] - 38:5 Pharmaceuticals [2] -2:12, 2:13 pharmacies [1] - 22:3 pharmacy [16] - 5:6, 7:21, 11:24, 13:22, 13:23, 14:2, 21:19, 22:2, 22:14, 22:25, 23:6, 23:11, 23:12, 23:21, 24:6, 25:11 Pharmacy [1] - 2:17 PHILADELPHIA [2] -1:18, 2:11 phone [6] - 16:4, 29:11, 30:17, 30:21, 33:12, 47:25 picking [1] - 22:10 **PIEDMONT**[1] - 3:4 pill [4] - 12:1, 24:4, 24:6, 24:14 pills [4] - 24:10, 24:11, 25:9, 25:15 place [7] - 14:23, 36:12, 36:21, 41:16, 42:4, 46:3, 46:6 plaintiff [20] - 4:14, 8:2, 11:21, 13:3, 13:6, 21:20, 21:25, 22:3, 22:9, 22:17, 22:21, 23:21, 24:12, 25:20, 33:23, 34:6, 35:11, 35:13, 46:12, 47.2 plaintiff's [6] - 21:18, 23:5, 35:21, 38:9, 38:17, 40:25 Plaintiffs [5] - 1:15, 1:18, 1:22, 2:4, 2:8 plaintiffs [60] - 4:9, 4:12, 4:16, 4:18, 6:2, 6:9, 6:16, 8:2, 8:18, 8:23, 8:25, 9:1, 9:23, 10:2, 10:4, 10:8, 10:21, 11:6, 11:7, 14:3, 14:16, 15:17, 16:1, 16:19, 20:11, 20:13, 20:15, 20:22, 21:14, 21:23, 23:18, 24:17, 26:10, 28:21, 28:24, 31:3, 31:7, 31:10, 31:12, 31:15, 31:18, 31:24, 31:25, 32:7, 34:13, 36:1, 36:5, 36:7, 40:3, 42:1, 42:11, 42:17, 43:5, 43:13, 43:25, 44:4, 44:13, 44:22 plaintiffs' [13] - 4:5,

6:5, 6:12, 13:14, 18:4, 22:22, 30:4, 33:16, 35:10, 35:17, 35:18, 38:10, 39:6 plan [1] - 17:22 Plano [1] - 23:8 plans [1] - 16:18 platform [1] - 34:16 plexiglass [1] - 48:7 PLLC[1] - 2:6 plus [1] - 8:12 point [13] - 20:14, 21:20, 28:18, 33:11, 35:25, 38:6, 39:2, 42:6, 42:23, 43:22, 44:23, 45:17, 47:22 pointed [1] - 15:13 portion [1] - 33:24 position [7] - 6:13, 12:6, 29:3, 29:21, 30:11, 43:21, 44:21 possible [1] - 31:19 practicalities [1] -45:20 pre[1] - 40:21 pre-COVID[1] - 40:21 precautions [2] - 48:5, 48:18 prepare [1] - 46:11 prepared [2] - 30:14, 47:23 prescription [2] -22:6, 22:9 presumably [1] - 45:3 pretty [3] - 13:16, 15:5, 49:11 pricing [6] - 19:25, 20:1, 20:7, 20:10, 20:18, 20:24 Prinston [1] - 2:12 priority [1] - 43:10 privilege [2] - 16:7, 32:5 **privileged** [1] - 32:3 problem 131 - 13:13. 26:21, 48:25 proceed [5] - 6:11, 34:3, 36:2, 41:19, 42:21 Proceedings [1] -1:25 proceedings [3] -47:9, 49:15, 49:20 PROCEEDINGS [2] -4:1, 33:4 process [16] - 12:11, 14:23, 16:16, 16:25, 17:5, 17:23, 17:24,

18:19, 19:17, 19:19,

19:22, 28:22, 32:7,

41:19, 45:8

perspective[1] -

36:10

36:12, 36:21, 36:24 PROCTOR [1] - 1:20 produce [3] - 23:11. 31:2, 31:23 produced [1] - 1:25 producing [1] - 23:8 product [10] - 22:2, 24:3, 24:20, 24:21, 25:12, 26:3, 26:11, 26:15, 26:18, 32:2 production [2] - 27:5, 30:25 productive [5] - 9:22, 9:24, 29:6, 35:10, 36:22 productively [1] -14:22 **PRODUCTS**[1] - 1:3 program [2] - 15:15, 31:20 promised [1] - 32:12 properly [1] - 34:18 proposal [7] - 9:9, 11:22, 13:14, 23:1, 29:22, 30:4, 31:15 propose [5] - 14:6, 21:25, 29:7, 30:2, 43:8 proposed [4] - 24:2, 28:15, 29:20, 42:24 proposing [1] - 22:8 protocol [7] - 15:4, 28:16, 29:8, 29:20, 29:23, 30:1, 30:5 provide [6] - 21:17, 22:1, 22:11, 22:13, 30:3. 42:2 provides [2] - 11:24, 12:8 providing [1] - 42:12 **provision** [1] - 20:16 punitive [1] - 41:13 purely [1] - 41:1 purpose [1] - 21:24 pursuant [1] - 16:24 push [1] - 44:22 put [9] - 4:9, 6:25, 14:23, 27:4, 28:16, 38:14, 38:18, 42:4, 43:16 puts [1] - 29:2

Q

quarantine [4] - 47:14, 47:17, 47:21, 49:1 questions [2] - 18:7, 21:24 quickly [1] - 46:24 quite [1] - 35:4

quote [1] - 15:23

R **RAFFERTY**[1] - 1:20 raise [4] - 13:11, 17:19, 17:20, 26:21 raised [2] - 18:5, 40:2 rather [1] - 9:11 rational [1] - 31:4 RDR [1] - 49:22 **RE**[1] - 1:3 reached [2] - 14:3, 38:25 read [3] - 23:20, 32:9, 39:14 reading [1] - 30:8 real [1] - 44:8 really [11] - 11:3, 13:9, 14:25, 20:18, 21:22, 24:17, 25:20, 38:25, 45:10, 45:16 reargue [1] - 29:19 reason [5] - 12:10, 14:24, 25:13, 25:16, 45:23 reasonable [4] - 28:2, 28:10, 28:22, 30:16 reasons [2] - 20:25, 37:16 recalled [2] - 24:21, 26:12 received [1] - 5:11 recently [1] - 47:10 recess [1] - 33:3 reciprocal [1] - 47:19 reckoning [3] - 43:21, 44:16, 44:17 recollection [2] - 7:12, 7:23 record [7] - 4:3, 4:10, 5:25, 6:1, 18:22, 29:18, 49:20 recorded [1] - 1:25 records [4] - 11:24, 12:1, 23:12, 23:17 recurring [1] - 36:21 referred [1] - 37:22 refiled [1] - 37:21 refresh [2] - 7:12, 7:22 refuse [2] - 31:17, 32:8 regard [2] - 13:8, 17:7 regarding [1] - 6:20 relabeler [4] - 17:9, 17:13, 17:18, 19:16 relabelers [5] - 14:18,

16:4, 16:11, 17:23,

related [4] - 19:3,

19.21

21:25, 23:11, 40:17 relates [1] - 23:7 relating [1] - 20:7 relayed [1] - 6:11 relevant [8] - 22:4, 22:12. 23:3. 23:14. 23:21, 23:23, 25:15, 25:18 remain [1] - 28:20 remaining [2] - 7:7, 7.9 remember [2] - 7:14, 7:23 remove [1] - 38:7 removed [1] - 37:22 removing [1] - 38:13 renegotiate [1] - 20:16 repackager [7] - 15:8, 15:23, 17:9, 17:13, 17:18, 18:6, 19:16 repackager/relabeler [1] - 14:16 repackagers [13] -14:17, 15:21, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:11, 16:14, 16:15, 17:23, 18:9. 18:11. 19:3. 19:21 **Reporter** [1] - 1:23 **REPORTER** [3] - 25:1, 25:5, 46:21 reporter [2] - 4:8, 46:22 Reporter/ Transcriber[1] -49:22 reports [7] - 42:2, 42:12, 44:25, 45:6, 45:8, 45:15, 46:4 represent[1] - 16:6 representative[1] -9:1 representatives [2] -7:25, 8:10 reps [1] - 8:7 request[16] - 16:24, 17:3, 17:5, 17:10, 17:13, 18:18, 19:25, 20:24, 21:14, 21:15, 21:22, 24:18, 25:20, 27:4, 29:25, 34:3 requested [4] - 10:12, 11:10, 19:16, 19:21 requests [2] - 16:1, 33:17 required [1] - 42:1 requiring [1] - 31:2 **resolution** [3] - 6:3, 6:16, 47:1

resolved [6] - 6:15, 19:14, 33:14, 34:21, 34:25, 35:20 resource[1] - 42:7 resources [2] - 31:14, 42:22 respect [4] - 15:15. 20:8, 20:21, 21:18 respond [9] - 7:17, 8:20, 10:6, 10:12, 10:22, 11:4, 11:10, 25:7, 36:4 responded [3] - 8:13, 36:23, 40:3 responds [1] - 36:16 response [3] - 12:13, 34:7, 39:14 responses [1] - 7:3 rest[3] - 23:24, 25:18, 33:1 restrict[1] - 47:11 results [1] - 13:15 retail [6] - 5:6, 13:22, 13:23, 14:1, 22:12, 22:16 Retailer [1] - 2:17 retailer [1] - 10:11 retailers [7] - 7:18, 8:19, 9:10, 10:22, 10:23, 11:3, 11:9 return [4] - 21:14, 22:1, 24:3, 24:20 returned [3] - 23:21, 24:5, 25:11 returns [7] - 21:17, 21:18, 22:2, 24:2, 24:18, 24:19, 24:22 review [2] - 31:22, 32:5 **reviewed** [1] - 5:12 RFPs [1] - 24:16 RICHER [5] - 2:15, 9:16, 9:19, 14:1, 14:10 Richer [2] - 9:19, 14:1 rights [1] - 48:15 RMR [1] - 49:22 road [1] - 26:21 ROAD[2] - 3:4, 3:8 Robert [1] - 33:5 ROBERT [1] - 1:11 **room** [1] - 5:15 ROSE[1] - 2:18 ROSELAND[1] - 1:15 Rosenberg [1] - 41:9 rough [1] - 8:18 round [1] - 34:2 route [1] - 28:23 RUBEN [1] - 1:17

Ruben [1] - 4:13 rule [1] - 25:24 ruled [3] - 19:25, 20:7, 20:25 ruling [5] - 12:24, 13:24, 26:19, 32:11, 32:12 **RULINGS** [1] - 1:5 rulings [4] - 6:2, 20:3, 20:8, 30:15 **run** [1] - 14:5

S Salas [1] - 49:7 sales [2] - 23:9, 30:9 **sample**[1] - 26:2 sampled [1] - 26:11 **sampling** [1] - 30:25 SARAH[1] - 2:15 Sarah [2] - 5:5, 9:24 sat [1] - 6:1 save [5] - 5:20, 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:10 schedule [14] - 27:10, 41:21, 42:3, 42:20, 42:24, 43:3, 43:7, 43:8, 43:14, 46:7, 46:12, 46:13, 46:19, 48:4 scheduled [1] - 35:15 schedules [1] - 41:11 Schneider [10] - 4:2. 33:6, 33:13, 40:8, 40:21, 41:2, 41:18, 43:1, 44:8, 48:21 **SCHNEIDER** [3] - 1:9, 37:10, 48:21 Schwartz[1] - 7:5 SCHWARTZ[9] -2:10, 7:5, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:5, 8:9, 8:14, 8:21 science[1] - 43:23 **scope**[1] - 21:15 **sealed** [1] - 5:15 search[1] - 31:8 second[3] - 32:19, 34:24, 35:1 secondly [1] - 15:20 Section [2] - 11:23, 12.4 section [1] - 13:4 see [12] - 6:3, 13:9, 13:13, 19:10, 29:8, 29:10, 34:24, 36:15, 37:23, 38:13, 45:4, 45:23 seek [2] - 39:10, 39:11 selecting [1] - 12:23

resolve [2] - 5:21,

speedy [1] - 48:15

spend [1] - 42:7

9:21

spoken [2] - 5:13,

send [5] - 14:7, 29:20, 29:25, 30:1, 46:12 sending [1] - 29:24 sense [4] - 23:17, 27:17, 42:4, 45:25 sent [1] - 35:15 separate [2] - 14:25, 19:5 **September** [2] - 35:4, 47:23 seriously [2] - 31:1, 31:10 serve [1] - 45:8 served [2] - 27:1, 34:18 session [1] - 41:3 set [2] - 7:16, 47:10 **SETH**[1] - 2:10 Seth [3] - 4:21, 38:2, 47:4 setting [2] - 32:24, 44:11 several [1] - 41:24 severe [2] - 48:12, 48:13 shape [1] - 49:11 sheet [40] - 6:22, 7:2, 8:19, 9:11, 9:17, 10:1, 11:23, 12:7, 12:10, 12:15, 13:3, 13:7, 14:8, 14:25, 15:19, 17:7, 17:11, 17:16, 17:20, 17:25, 18:8, 18:16, 18:19, 19:2, 19:5, 19:9, 19:18, 19:23, 20:14, 21:6, 21:14, 21:18, 22:5, 22:22, 23:15, 26:24, 27:4, 33:23, 35:11 **SHEETS** [1] - 1:6 sheets [17] - 6:20, 6:24, 7:9, 7:10, 7:17, 8:13, 8:14, 10:7, 12:21, 12:25, 13:22, 13:23, 25:25, 26:7, 27:1, 33:17, 35:13 short [3] - 14:21, 27:6, 42:15 short-form [2] - 27:6, 42:15 shortage [1] - 48:13 show [6] - 6:20, 33:17, 34:4, 36:3, 36:9, 36:14 **show-cause** [1] - 6:20 shows [1] - 28:22 side [7] - 20:17, 24:13, 28:8, 30:4, 40:22, 42:22, 46:15

sides [1] - 28:5 similar [1] - 30:5 simpler [1] - 46:19 simply [2] - 12:16, 25:12 Simultaneous [2] -9:18, 13:25 single [1] - 43:17 situated [1] - 15:14 situation [1] - 49:9 six [3] - 22:5, 23:4, 45:21 **SLATER** [18] - 1:13, 1:14, 4:11, 9:3, 9:6, 21:9, 27:15, 29:14, 29:17, 32:10, 32:21, 34:8, 37:5, 37:12, 38:22, 39:8, 44:20, 47:2 Slater [22] - 4:11, 6:9, 6:12, 8:25, 9:3, 27:13, 29:16, 29:17, 30:7, 30:24, 31:15, 32:6, 32:9, 34:6, 37:5, 38:12, 38:22, 39:4, 43:15, 44:12, 44:19, 47:2 Slater's [1] - 39:14 small [2] - 20:11, 32:3 **SMITH** [1] - 3:7 smoothly [1] - 13:16 Solco [1] - 2:12 solely [1] - 40:11 someone [1] - 39:4 **sometime** [1] - 48:16 **somewhere** [1] - 5:15 **soon** [2] - 8:19, 49:13 sooner [2] - 10:18, 46:14 **Sorry** [1] - 9:5 sorry [11] - 9:22, 13:18, 13:20, 21:10, 25:3, 29:14, 37:10, 39:20, 39:24, 46:21, 46:23 sort [8] - 6:3, 21:23, 27:22, 40:13, 40:14, 41:14, 42:20, 43:8 sounds [4] - 10:25, 20:20, 31:13, 32:16 source[1] - 24:11 **SOUTH**[1] - 2:11 **SPEAKER** [3] - 25:2, 46:8, 46:9 speaking [1] - 46:22 specific [5] - 19:7, 21:25, 23:7, 42:11, 44:23 specifically [2] -

21:23, 34:9

STANOCH [2] - 34:12, 36:6 Stanoch [2] - 34:13, 36:6 start [6] - 10:3, 11:25, 14:16, 43:3, 45:25, 46:12 started [2] - 33:1, 33:11 starting [1] - 28:18 state [8] - 27:8, 37:1, 37:21, 37:24, 37:25, 47:16, 47:20 State [1] - 37:19 States [3] - 4:2, 33:5, states [4] - 47:14, 47:15, 47:18, 47:19 **STATES** [3] - 1:1, 1:10, 1:11 STATUS [1] - 1:4 stay [6] - 15:1, 15:3, 19:4, 32:22, 49:12 stayed [2] - 37:4, 37:8 stenography [1] -1:25 still [5] - 11:4, 15:25, 16:7, 16:15, 35:7 store [2] - 22:12, 22:16 strategies [1] - 41:11 STREET [4] - 1:17, 2:3, 2:11, 2:23 Streets [1] - 1:7 strong [1] - 40:22 stuff [2] - 44:7, 48:8 subject [2] - 23:2, 23:15 submit[1] - 16:19 submitted [3] - 8:14, 34:17, 35:13 subset[1] - 20:11 substance [1] - 14:10 substantial [3] - 8:15, 12:18, 13:8 substantially [5] -11:21, 11:23, 12:7, 12:15, 13:10 substantive[1] - 14:3 success [1] - 38:25 suggest [1] - 32:17 suggested [1] - 9:11 suggestion [2] -27:18, 28:23 **SUITE** [7] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:7, 2:16, 2:23, 3:4,

3:8 summarize[1] - 21:16 **summarized**[1] - 36:8 summary [1] - 23:9 **Superior** [1] - 37:3 supply [2] - 7:11, 7:20 support [1] - 43:23 **supposed** [1] - 47:16 **supposedly** [1] - 31:5 surprised [1] - 8:23 SWEDESFORD[1] -3:8 system [3] - 31:24, 34:17, 47:7 T TAR [6] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 6:18, 27:13, 31:9 team [1] - 34:9 4:1, 33:4 ten [2] - 41:25, 43:4 term [1] - 30:3 terms [9] - 14:10, 48:18, 49:10 testing [2] - 24:15, 25:10 tethered [1] - 21:23 6:2, 22:22, 28:9,

technical [1] - 34:15 teleconference [2] -**TELEPHONIC** [1] - 1:4 18:10, 18:15, 19:7, 31:8, 40:24, 46:17, test [2] - 24:7, 24:14 Teva [14] - 3:5, 4:24, 4:25, 5:2, 5:21, 5:23, 28:13, 28:15, 31:2, 31:16, 31:20 Teva's [1] - 27:17 Texas [2] - 22:23, 23:8 **THE** [78] - 1:1, 1:9, 1:11, 2:19, 4:3, 4:19, 5:10, 7:12, 7:16, 7:22, 8:3, 8:6, 8:11, 8:17, 8:22, 10:8, 10:15, 10:20, 11:14, 11:19, 12:13, 12:24, 13:21, 14:9, 14:11, 15:7, 16:3, 16:9, 16:11, 16:21, 16:23, 17:2, 17:8, 18:13, 18:17, 19:8, 19:13, 20:3, 20:20, 21:8, 21:10, 22:7, 22:15, 23:18, 25:1, 25:4, 25:5, 25:23, 26:23, 28:12, 29:16, 30:7, 30:23, 32:11, 32:22,

33:8, 33:25, 34:6, 34:11, 34:23, 35:7, 36:4, 36:13, 36:25, 37:9, 37:18, 38:9, 38:15, 38:21, 39:3, 39:9, 39:23, 43:15, 46:1, 46:21, 46:25, 47:6, 48:25 themselves [1] - 6:14 theory [2] - 43:24 they've [4] - 10:24, 21:16, 35:22, 45:5 thinking [1] - 43:24 third [2] - 21:13 **THOMAS**[1] - 1:20 THORNBURG [1] -2:14 thorough [1] - 13:7 three [5] - 14:12, 14:14, 19:13, 21:1, 21:3 timeline [1] - 42:5 timing [2] - 37:13, 45:11 today [5] - 9:13, 17:11, 44:11, 46:16, together [2] - 24:16, 28:16 took [4] - 12:2, 24:4, 24:12, 26:19 totally [1] - 21:21 touch [1] - 39:1 towards [1] - 45:17 Townsend [3] - 33:20, 34:1, 34:7 Townsend's [1] - 34:3 track [5] - 41:2, 41:6, 41:13, 43:9, 45:11 transcript[2] - 1:25, 49:19 transcription[1] -1:25 TRAURIG [1] - 3:2 traveling [1] - 48:2 trial [3] - 24:7, 24:8, 48:15 trials [3] - 48:10, 48:11, 48:15 tried [1] - 25:21 trigger [9] - 10:2, 10:4. 10:7, 11:5, 11:6, 11:16, 11:20, 21:4, 44.6 triggers [1] - 13:5 try [4] - 16:18, 17:5, 27:18, 28:23 trying [13] - 10:20,

19:1, 19:7, 20:8,

20:10, 20:21, 20:22,

24:7, 25:8, 27:21, 38:18, 39:7, 42:19 **Tuesday**[1] - 30:19 **turn**[3] - 27:14, 29:7, 39:21 **two**[12] - 10:24, 10:25, 12:13, 13:12, 14:5, 19:13, 19:24, 20:4, 20:24, 22:25, 33:18, 37:19 **types** [6] - 32:4, 39:11, 39:12, 42:1, 42:11, 43:6 **typo**[2] - 35:3, 35:6

various [4] - 37:16, 40:17, 42:17, 47:14 version [2] - 14:7, 27:1 via [2] - 4:1, 33:4 Victoria [2] - 4:25, 33:23 VICTORIA [1] - 3:3 VINE [1] - 2:23 virus [3] - 47:7, 49:8, 49:10 VOICE [3] - 10:19, 29:1, 34:5 voluntarily [1] - 47:16 word [1] - 32:14 works [2] - 28:3, 31:25 worry [1] - 11:16 write [1] - 45:6

Y

year [10] - 12:9, 12:11, 22:23, 22:25, 40:16, 46:3, 46:6, 46:14, 48:16
years [4] - 21:19, 21:21, 22:25, 23:14
yesterday [11] - 5:25, 6:1, 9:22, 9:25, 10:11, 27:16, 27:20, 28:15, 28:17, 29:5, 34:20

Z

YORK [2] - 2:20

Zantac [5] - 41:8, 42:21, 43:4, 43:17, 46:17 Zantac/ranitidine [1] -41:22 Zhejiang [1] - 2:13 ZHP [3] - 4:22, 7:6, 38:3

U

U.S 121 - 1:6, 2:12 **ULMER**[1] - 2:22 uncertainty [1] - 28:7 under [1] - 42:17 understood [2] - 18:2, 19:12 underway [1] - 43:14 unforeseen [1] - 13:14 UNIDENTIFIED [3] -25:2, 46:8, 46:9 United [3] - 4:2, 33:5, 33:6 **UNITED**[3] - 1:1, 1:10, 1:11 unless [1] - 21:3 unquote [1] - 15:23 unreasonable [1] -6:12 unsympathetic [1] -43:20 up [12] - 12:10, 14:4, 24:23, 28:22, 29:4, 30:12, 32:17, 32:24, 35:20, 44:11, 47:11, 47:24 update [1] - 34:17 updated [1] - 36:23 urgency [1] - 28:5 **US**[1] - 2:18

V

validation [6] - 28:16, 29:8, 29:20, 29:23, 30:4, 32:6 valsartan [6] - 21:20, 22:3, 25:11, 43:18, 44:2, 44:6 Valsartan [1] - 4:4 VALSARTAN [1] - 1:3 valsartan-containing [2] - 44:2, 44:6 variations [1] - 42:14

W wait [3] - 11:2, 24:3, 37:23 waiting [1] - 40:14 Walmart [1] - 2:12 wants [4] - 15:24, 17:19, 29:20, 40:24 **Washington** [1] - 5:16 waste[1] - 31:14 wasting [2] - 31:6, 42:22 **WAYNE**[1] - 3:8 wearing [1] - 48:6 week [8] - 6:17, 6:24, 8:19, 29:12, 35:16, 40:2, 42:24 weekend[1] - 28:3 weeks [3] - 10:24, 10:25, 13:12 welcome [1] - 18:3 whatsoever[1] -35:14 Whiteley [1] - 4:18 WHITELEY [3] - 2:2, 2:3, 4:17 whittled [1] - 35:12 whole [1] - 22:17 wholesaler [2] - 5:8, 21:8 wholesalers [16] -14:12, 14:13, 15:2, 15:7, 15:9, 15:12, 15:16, 15:22, 16:2, 18:6, 18:8, 18:12, 19:1, 19:4, 19:7, 21:12 wholesalers' [2] -19:9, 19:18 willing [3] - 23:16, 25:17, 29:12 wind [1] - 30:8 winnowing [1] - 44:3 **WITH** [1] - 1:5

wondering [1] - 47:6